STATE PATERNALISM AND POPULAR LEGITIMACY: ASPECTS OF CASTE AND COLONIAL JUSTICE (1920 - 1940)

Dissertation submitted to the Jawaharlal Nehru University
in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the award of the Degree of
MASTER OF PHILOSOPHY

VINAY KUMAR GUPTA

CENTRE FOR HISTORICAL STUDIES SCHOOL OF SOCIAL SCIENCES JAWAHARLAL NEHRU UNIVERSITY NEW DELHI-110067, INDIA 1994



जवाहरलाल नेहरु विश्वविद्यालय JAWAHARLAL NEHRU UNIVERSITY NEW DELHI - 110067

Centre for Historical Studies School of Social Sciences July 21, 1994

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that this dissertation entitled "STATE PATERNALISM AND POPULAR LEGITINACY: ASPECTS OF CASTE AND COLONIAL JUSTICE (1920-1940)" submitted by Vinay Kumar Gupta in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the Degree of Master of Philosophy, is an original work and has not been previously submitted for any other degree of this University or any other University to the best of our knowledge.

We recommend that this dissertation may be placed before the examiners for evaluation.

Shoul

(PROF. SATISH SABERWAL)
CHAIRPERSON

(DR. MAJID HAYAT SIDDIQI)
SUPERVISOR

GRAM: JAYENU TEL.: 667676, 667557 TELEX: 031-73167 JNU IN

CONTENTS

	PAGE NO.
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	(i)
GLOSSARY	(ii-iv)
PREFACE	(v-xi)
INTRODUCTION	1-4
CHAPTER I	5-13
SOURCES AND BACKGROUND OF THE TRADITIONAL LEGAL SYSTEM	
CHAPTER II	14-24
TRADITION VS MODERNITY: THE BRITISH VOLTE-FACE	
CHAPTER III	25-53
CASTE IN LEGAL DISCOURSE : INSTANCES FROM THE 20TH CENTURY	
CHAPTER IV	54-70
THE LAKHO CHAK RIOT OF 1925 : WHAT THE DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE TELLS US, OR "THE JUDGEMENT OF PRACTICE".	
CHAPTER V	71-86
THE LAKHO CHAK RIOT OF 1925 : AS REFLECTED IN FOLK-TRADITION	
CONCLUSION	87-90
THE POLITICS OF CONSENT	
APPENDIX -A	91-117
THE TRANSCRIBED VERSION OF THE LORIK (FOLK-SONG) RECORDED IN MARCH 1994 FROM LAKHO CHAK	
APPENDIX -B	118-121
THE TRANCRIBED VERSION OF THE PERSONAL INTERVIEW RECORDED IN MARCH 1994 FROM LAKHO CHAK	
BIBLIOGRAPHY	122-126

ABBREVIATIONS

A.I.R. - All India Reporter

F.I.R. - First Information Report

I.L.I. - Indian Law Institute

I.L.R. - Indian Law Reporter

S.D.O. - Sub-Divisional Officer

SECTT. - Secretariat

S.I. - Sub-Inspector

S.P. - Superintendent of Police

SUPDT. - Superintendent

V. - Versus

V.S. - Vikram Samvat

GLOSSARY

<u>Alankar Poonool</u> - The ornamental sacred thread worn by the Sudras at the time of marriage or funeral ceremony.

Anchal - Circle.

Anna - An indigenous unit of money (1/16

of a rupee).

Bagicha - Garden or Orchard.

Begari - The act of pressing labourers or

servants.

<u>Baith-Begari</u> - Customary presence to the <u>Maliks</u> on

the occassion of festivals and

marriages.

Bhando[n] - The fifth month of the luni-solar

year (August-September).

Bhet-Begari - Occasional presents made by the

cultivators to the landlords on the

special occasions.

<u>Ch[h]atank</u> - One-sixteenth part of a Seer

measure, either of weight or

capacity.

Dharmashastra - A work on the whole body, or on

particular subjects of Hindu law. The collective writings of the Hindus on their laws and

institutions.

Ganrasa - A traditional weapon made of iron

head and wooden handle.

Hadis - The traditional sayings and doings

of Mohammad, having for the most

part of force of laws.

Halla - Uproar.

Ijma - An assemblage, a court : a

collection or sum.

The eighth month of the Hindu year Kartik

(October-November).

The family, a race, a tribe or the Kula

court consisting of family elders.

A stick. Lathi

Laukika Poonool The ornamental sacred thread worn

by the Sudras at the time

marriage or funeral ceremony.

Folk-song. Lorik

The head of a trade or caste. Mahajan

Field. Maidan

Malik A master, an owner, a proprietor.

A traditional weapon made of iron Pharsa

head and wooden handle.

A judge, the chief justice, the Pradvivaka

representative of the Raja in royal

court.

An assemblage of men of different Puga[m]

trades.

Analogical deductions derived from Kiyas

a comparision of the Koran, Hadis

and Ijma.

Any member of an assembly : a Sabhya

member of a court of justice, as the Pradvivaka, or chief judge.

The Statements lodged in the Police Sanha

Station as a part of possible

threat or attack.

The indigenous unit to measure Seer

weight (1 Seer is equal to 933

grams).

Smriti

The body of the recorded or rembered law, the ceremonial and and

legal institutes of the Hindus.

Sradh - The ceremony which is performed on the 13th day of the demise of a person in which food and water are offered to the deceased.

<u>Sreni</u> - A row, a line; a court of arbitration formed of persons in the same line of business.

<u>Sruti</u> - Hearing, applied especially to the Vedas collectively in contradiction to the <u>Smriti</u> or law.

The solemn investiture of youths of the three first tribes, Brahman, Kshatriya, and Vaisya with a peculiar thread or cord worn over the left soulder, by they are considered as regenerated and admitted to all the privileges of their original birth.

Vahivat - Issues relating to the possession of land etc. recorded in the register.

<u>Wadi</u> - Orchard·

PREFACE

Ι

The topic of this dissertation evolved in the course of a seminar paper namely, "Aspects of British Policy in relation to the caste system: an analysis of the Census Reports (1911 - 1931)". It was argued there that the State, through its paternalistic attitude, tried to get popular legitimacy for itself, possibly in response to the social movements of the first half of the 20th century. Though the units of such activities were either villages or sub-regions, castes too, played an important role in this process. In Bihar, interestingly, these units of local action were centred on castes.

A comparative study of the All India Reports and the State Reports of the British Censuses shows certain crucial differences between observations at the two levels. Whether the census became an opportunity for the people to enhance their social status, superficially or genuinely, has been a matter of academic debate. An analysis of the 1931 data of both the Reports shows that the claimed designation in the State Reports (Bihar) was returned with the original status of a particular caste. For example, a 'Nai' who claimed the status of 'Brahman' was returned as 'Nai-Brahman'. This was not been the case with the All India Report where the claimed designation was returned as 'Brahman'. This makes all the

difference. The very mention of the previous status in the State Report distinguished them from Brahman as such.

On the basis of this, historically as well as sociologically, there are two possibilities that could be explored:

- (A) We find a variation in the varna and <u>jati</u> principles because our facts fit into neither of the two models.
- (B) At the local level, the British followed a different policy, one that suited their larger interest i.e. to sustain British rule for a longer period. From this sprang their paternalistic attitude towards such matters which too needs to be researched to determine its local specificity.

Apart from the Census, the Caste Sabha also played an important role in the claim to advance social position in the State Reports of the Censuses. Extensive references are found that illustrate this phenomenon in a wide variety of ways. 1891 records the Dosadh's 'Doshashan Kshatriya Mahasabha'; 1921 records an abstinence movement among the Doms; while in 1912 a movement of the 'Gope Jatiya Mahasabha' of the Goalas is recorded to have expanded into a north India wide 'Pan-Ahir Movement'. Contest for status and community articulation in some cases led to violence and so to the criminal courts.

To the best of my knowledge, no historian or for that matter sociologist has looked into the Law Records to out the actual recorded cases about such matters during this period. This provided me an incentive to go into the details of the Law Reports. I went through "All India Digest 1836-1910. Section-I (Criminal)"; "The Fifty years' Digest 1901-1950 (Criminal, CivilandRevenue)"; "The Fifteen years' (Civil, Criminal and Revenue) 1916-1931"; Consolidated Edition of Desai's All India Comparative Tables" ; and Law Journals of different states from 1920-40. great excitement I have found extant records of the several cases of these digests in the All India Reporters (A.I.R.) and Indian Law Reporter (I.L.R.) of different states in the Indian Law Institute, New Delhi. Apart from these High Court judgements, I also examined some of the Government Reports especially, the judgements of the First Class Magistrate relating to the two Lakho Chak Riot cases of 1925-26 in the district of Monghyr, Bihar. I got this report from the Bihar State Archives, Patna.

This, apart from giving an impression of the kinds of dispute, regional dispersion, nature of the judgement, etc. has resulted in our finding a new discourse where legal dimensions of caste have been seen alongside our understanding of caste in sociology and history. This has been useful in understanding the policy of the colonial State

in relation to the claims to caste status that were being made by social groups during this period in different regions. This study has also provided a picture which, in turn, outlined the vital role played by the judiciary in generating popular legitimacy to help sustain British rule for a longer period.

III

Since Chapter Five is primarily based on oral sources, it is worth mentioning, in brief, how I collected these materials.

Once I was discussing my synopsis of the dissertation with a friend of mine whoknew about the Lakho Chak Riot 1925. I pointed out that the British in a way tried sidetrack the very merits of the cases and maintained the varna hierarchy since their judgements did not go beyond natural law / natural justice and Panchayat law / Panchayat justice. He asked me to see the judgements in the Lakho Chak Riot cases of 1925-26 which might give a different picture. He further told me that the local people also sing Lorik (folk-song) related to this riot and some o f the eyewitnesses are still alive. On the basis o f information I went there in March 1994 as a part of M. Phil. field work. To my great excitement, I met folk-singers, Mr. Yamuna Yadav, Mr. Narayan Yadav and Govardhan Yadav of the village Titai Chak who readily sang

the songs for recording. I recorded these songs in three cassettes of 90 minutes each. These recorded songs were then transcribed by me. The total number of pages of this transcribed version is 25. Many people were interviewed in the course of my field work. Mr. Bundi Prasad Yadav, a primary school teacher at Lakho Chak and an eyewitness of this riot, gave me a vivid description of this incident.

These recordings could not have been possible without the sincere help extended to me by Suresh Prasad Yadav and Tulli Yadav of the village Singh Chak and Titai Chak, respectively. They arranged every thing for my stay at Lakho Chak and always accompanied me wherever I visited. I thank all of them for their sincere co-operation.

IV

No words can express my gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Majid Hayat Siddiqi whose co-operation, scholarly guidance, and a little bit of pressure, enabled me to finish this work in time. I have benefitted greatly from his constructive criticisms.

I am also indebted to my teachers especially Prof. B.D. Chattopadhyay and Dr. Niladri Bhattacharya who commented critically when I presented the synopsis of this dissertation As a result of their comments, I formulated Chapters - 1, 4, and 5 in a better way. Dr. Bhagawan Josh, Dr. Indivar

Kamtekar, Prof. Madhavan K. Palat, Prof. Muzaffar Alam, Prof. Harbans Mukhia and Prof. K.N. Panikkar always encouraged and helped me whenever I sought their support.

My parents (Shri Ayodhya Prasad Gupta and Smt. Malati Devi) and my uncle (Shri Kameshwar Prasad) acted as a perennial source of strength, courage and foresightedness. Their unfailing love, encouragement and guidance made me sail through the ups and downs of my life. My love and regard for them cannot be expressed but felt.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincerest thanks to all my friends and well wishers. Some of them deserve special appreciation - Ajay Yadav and Sanjay Kumar, for helping in transcribing the lorik (folk-song); Jitendra for providing his tape-recorder to record the folk-songs; Sanjay Padmanabh, Manmohan and Krishna Mohan for prior discussion on chapters. Apart from them, Rohan, Harsh, Prashant, Ashish, Md. Ayub, Avinash, Sanjukta, Ritu, Sudipta, Raju, Dinesh and Hunna who have contributed one way or the another to the making of this dissertation.

I wish to thank some of my School/College-days friends
(Vinay Mishra, Banarsi Pandey, Arbind Pandey, Ajay Mishra,
Jitendra Kumar and Dhananjay Kumar) who have always helped
and encouraged me in different ways.

I am also grateful to the staff of several libraries for Hein co-operation. National Archives of India, Nehru

Memorial Museum and Library, Indian Law Institute, Bar Council Library - Supreme Court, Law Library - Delhi University, and J.N.U. Library - in Delhi; and Bihar State Archives, Patna and A.N. Sinha Institute, Patna - outside Delhi. My sincere thanks to them.

My due acknowledgement is to the Indian Council of Historical Research (ICHR) for granting me the Junior Research Fellowship for this work.

I thank Mr. Rakesh Tripathi who did the proof-reading.

Finally a note of appreciation and thanks to Mr. Bhagwan Singh Rawat for neatly and diligently typing out the draft of this work.

Date : July 21, 1994

Vinay Kuman Gupla (VINAY KUMAR GUPTA)

INTRODUCTION

Broadly speaking, there were three basic pillars of the colonial State in India i.e. the Executive, the Legislature and the Judiciary. Scholarship has concentrated much more on the role played by the executive and the legislature in the writing of the socio-cultural history of the colonial State. find that this perspective of the study of society history is very comfortable with disregard the significance of law. Barring a few notable exceptions, attempt has been made either by historians or sociologists to lay bare, at par with the Executive and the Legislature, the functioning of the Judiciary and its vital role played in the broad policy making of the British.

In general historical perception, the judiciary is considered to be somewhat different from the executive and the legislature. There is a notion that 'justice is done' by the Courts and it cannot have been utilized for generating popular legitimacy for the state. A sacrosanct notion of silent acquismeence prevailed in the minds of the people which probably prevented scholars from questioning the judiciary's judgements and also from attempting to establish any direct link with the socio-political process generally. This explains why natural law / natural justice and Panchayat law / Panchayat justice acted as axioms in the judgements delivered in the different High Courts, except some magisterial Courts at the local level. The former was the

'Practice of judgements' while the latter, as it were, embodied the 'judgement of practice'in which the weight of axiomatic inferences stood greatly reduced and the pragmatic force of everyday requirements of administrative justice prevailed.

Since the judiciary was one of the pillars of the colonial State, it too played a crucial role in relation to the British policy-making in India. This dissertation is an attempt to highlight this feature to some extent.

The dissertation is divided into five chapters.

The First Chapter contains a discussion on 'Sources and background of the traditional legal system' qualifying certain concepts i.e. `natural law' and `natural alongside a brief history of the evolution of traditional Hindu Muslim and legal systems their and various characteristics. This is important because the scholarship on legal history has concentrated much more on the colonial nature of the Indian legal system in contemporary India. There has been very little work on the influence of traditional legal system when British rule was established. It was during this period that the British went into several compromises because of the semi-hegemonic nature their rule. The legal system that was adopted was to a considerable extent with an eye to hegemonize, dominate and rule the Indian subcontinent.

The Second Chapter examines 'Tradition and modernity in the British volte-face' highlighting how the British adopted a different policy when they were confronted with social aspects in general and the caste customs in particular in the process of establishing a uniform legal system in India.

The Third Chapter reviews 'Caste in legal discourse; Instances from the 20th century' on the basis of All India Reporters (A.I.R.) of different High Courts. It is argued that the British in a way sidetracked the very merits of the cases and maintained the varna or local jati and/or community hierarchy while the judgements were delivered. The analysis is not confined to a particular region and an attempt has been made to incorporate as many cases as possible from different parts of the subcontinent. The selection of the cases has been done on the basis of their availability in the various digests that I have consulted.

The Fourth Chapter discusses The Lakho Chak Riot of 1925 which gives a different impression of the British attitude at the local level. The focus of this chapter has been to show as to how in 1925-26, in the judgements of the two cases from Lakho Chak in the District of Monghyr, Bihar, the British upheld the merits of these cases even though these judgements were delivered under different circumstances. Nevertheless, this was done.

The Fifth Chapter discusses The Lakho Chak Riot of 1925

as reflected in the folk-tradition and an attempt has also been made to correlate it with evidence of the archival sources.

CHAPTER - 1

SOURCES AND BACKGROUND OF THE TRADITIONAL LEGAL SYSTEM

Ι

During the pre-colonial period, Hindu and Muslim civil laws were different while the criminal laws were the same for both the communities. Different civil laws had created a sort of harmony leading to peaceful co-existence. Both claimed divine origin and were closely connected with religion. These were based on customs and often originated from customs.

The main sources of Hindu Law were: Srutis, that is, divinely inspired works, including the mass of material known as the Veda; the Smritis; Customs which were based on lost and forgotten Srutis and Smritis; and Judicial decisions.

The three principal <u>Smriti</u> texts which were considered sources of colonial laws were: (1) 'the Code of Manu' (the <u>Manava Dharma Shastra</u>), compiled nometime in between 200 B.C. and 100 A.D.; (2) 'the Code of <u>Yajnavlkya'</u>, written sometime in between 100 B.C. and 300 A.D.; and (3) the Code of Narad, written some time in between 100 B.C. and 400 A.D. In addition, there were more than 100 other <u>Dharmashastras</u> of greater or lesser importance.

As Julius Jolly points out, the Smritis were written

^{1.} L. Sternbach, <u>Judicial Studies in Ancient Indian Law</u>
Part-I, p. 538, Motilal Banarsidass, Delhi, 1965.

by Brahmans for Brahmans and they bring out the caste privileges claimed by them in the rudest manner. The Kshatriyas too appear beside the Brahmans as a privileged class, but the bulk of the population, particularly the mass of the Sudras, stand so low that it is considered hardly worth the trouble to examine their customs and legal usages. 2

Since the King was himself a Court of both Civil and Criminal jurisdiction and justice was administered according to the <u>Smritis</u>, the above mentioned points must be kept in mind when one examines the process of adjudication during this period. It is important also because the pre-colonial legal system in India did not have a homogeneous character.

The King or his administrative and judicial authorities constituted the top of the hierarchy. The law books employ the word 'King' as doing all matters of legal execution. The commentators explain that the 'King' means the presiding officer of a particular court.

The King's Court was the highest Court in the kingdom which was, according to the <u>Smritis</u>, situated at the capital city and in the royal palace. The king was vested with the power to pass final decrees. Apart from the king, the Chief Justice (<u>Pradvivka</u>) and the Judges (<u>Sabhyas</u>) were the officers of the court. The Chief Justice had to give his final opinion on cases, and the duty of the judges was to investigate the merits of each case.

Julius Jolly is quoted in L. Sternbach, op. cit. pp.538-539.

The subordinate judges, while administering justice, were helped by Sabha which constituted same members of the village folk. The Smritis have clearly laid down that the Sabhyas - members of the Sabha should always be Brahmans who should be well versed in law of the community but where a case did not involve deep knowledge of sacred laws, for example, disputes relating to cultivators, merchants and foresters, Dharmashastras have recommended that jurors should be selected from the castes or the professions of the parties. This provision was perhaps made with the view to keep persons having the knowledge of customs and traditions of a particular community or trade in the panel of jurors. In such disputes, customs of the caste and trade played an important role in deciding a particular point.

But even in the process of the selection of a judge, the first preference was to be given to a Brahman, if he was not available, a Kshatriya or a Vaishya could be appointed. Sudras, however, were never recommended for such appointments as, according to the ethos of that period, they were not supposed to learn the sacred texts, says Katyayana.

^{3.} O.P. Motiwal, <u>Changing Aspects of Law and Justice in India</u>, Chugh Publications, Allahabad, 1979, p. 5.

^{4.} ibid.

^{5.} B.R. Agarwala, <u>Our Judiciary</u>, National Book Trust, Delhi, 1993, p. 9.

In addition to the King's Court, there were popular Courts in existence also. However, Manusmriti and Dharmsutra do not have any mention of such courts. It is Yajnavalkya Smriti where we, for the first time, come across the discussions on three kinds of popular courts. These courts were called Puga, Sreni, and Kula. Any party dissatisfied by the decision of Kula could go in appeal before Sreni and Puga could hear appeal against the judgement of Sreni. Kula was the court consisting of family elders. It generally decided disputes among the members of a particular family. It was a sort of family arbitration and when it failed to give a satisfactory solution the matter was taken up before Sreni which was a Court of guilds. Puga Courts consisted of members belonging to different castes and professions or trades belonging to the same village or town. These courts upto the 18th century. They had the sanction of the King and the latter had the authority to review any decision made by these courts.

It is surprising that while awarding punishment, the judges were required to take into consideration the caste, status and age of the accused apart from other aspects. For a particular crime, different punishments were awarded to the accused belonging to different castes. The punishment for the murder of a Sudra was ten cows, for that of a Vaishya 100

^{6.} O.P. Motiwal, op.cit., p. 6.

cows, for that of a Kshatriya 500 cows, and for that of a Brahman 1000 cows. Fines also varied with the caste of offenders. 7

ΙI

To complete this account of the backdrop, an attempt should also be made to examine the sources and jurisdiction of Muslim law. The main sources of Muslim law were found in (1) the Koran (Quran); (2) Hadis, that is, precepts, actions, and sayings of the Prophet Mohammed not written down during his lifetime, but preserved by tradition and handed down by authorized persons; (3) Ijma, that is, consensus of Muslim scholars; and (4) Kiyas, analogical deductions derived from a comparison of the first three sources when they did not apply to any particular case.

The Emperor's Court was the Supreme Court of the Empire. The Court of Diwan Ala and the Court of Sadr-us-Sadr or the Chief Qazi (Qazi-ul-Quzal) were the other Courts of Law and they were appointed by the King. The Sadr us-Sadr, who also worked as Chief Qazi, had the powers to appoint provincial Qazis and Mir Adils and they remained under his orders.

judged from in which a gardener o f the а case Sabarmati, constructed by Khan-i-Khan, approached the King (Jahangir) with a complaint about a servant of Governor Muqarrab Khan who had cut down some Champa trees under his An inquiry was made, the complaint was found to be true and both the thumbs of the accused were chopped off.8 This also proves that the Kings had all powers of original and appellate jurisdiction and in all types of cases, whether civil or criminal.

Apart from the above mentioned courts, there were Caste Courts and Panchayats. These Courts decided all kinds of cases - civil and criminal, filed by villagers. Public opinion was the major sanction behind their authority. Justice was administered by the village elders on the basis of the customs, practices and faiths of the parties. The Caste Courts decided the cases of parties belonging to the same caste, with social sanctions behind them.

Thus, it is clear that during this period, too, the caste hierarchy was taken into consideration while delivering the judgement as was the case during the ancient period.

III

The concept of natural law and justice frequently informed the judgement of the British because whenever they

^{8.} B.R. Agarwala, op.cit., pp. 25-26.

B.R. Agarwala, <u>op.</u>cit., p. 27.

were confronted with the merits of a particular case they passed the judgement in the name of natural law and justice.

Therefore, one needs to understand this very concept of natural law and justice.

According to Encyclopaedia of Social Sciences, 'Natural Law is that law which, grounded in the innermost nature of man or of society, is independent of convention, legislation or other institutional devices.'

If we take this definition of natural law, then it becomes problematic to know and understand the innermost nature of man or of society because it is not so simple to categorize one's nature. This very 'nature' is ambiguous in its characteristics especially when the ideal norm is confronted with the deviations from reality, apriorism with empiricism, autonomy with hetronomy, stability of the established order with the dynamics of progress, justice with security and immobile organisation with continuity of life. Further, how can anything be independent of convention, legislation or other institutional device?

Natural justice is justice indeed and in truth while legal justice is justice declared and recognised by law and enforced by law courts, as Salmond has said. 11 According to

^{10.} Encyclopaedia of Social Sciences, Vol. 11-12, p. 284.

^{11.} Salmond, <u>Jurisprudence</u> 8th ed., p.58, quoted in R.G. Chaturvedi, <u>Natural and Social Justice</u>, Law Book Co., Allahabad, 1970, p.6.

him, legal justice is the ideal and the truth, of which, legal justice is the more or less imperfect realisation and expression. Legal justice is the authoritative formulation of natural justice by civil law as an obligation of the courts who administer justice. Natural justice, when authoritatively formulated by law, becomes legal justice.

The concept of natural justice has been used in the sense of natural law, universal law, and eternal law and has not been a static but a changing concept.

The follwise are the four generally accepted principles of natural justice : $^{12}\,$

- (a) Every person whose civil rights are affected, must have reasonable notice of the case he has to meet.
- (b) He must have reasonable opportunity of being heard in his defence.
- (c) The hearing must be by an impartial tribunal, i.e. by a person who must not be party to the case in any manner.
- (d) The authority must act reasonably and in good faith and not arbitrarily.

On the other hand, natural justice is another name for commonsense justice. In this sense, rules of natural justice are not codified canons, but they are ingrained into the conscience of man. It also takes natural ideal and human values substantially in its account.

^{12.} O.P. Motiwal, op.cit., p.207.

Now, the same problems arise here as is the case with natural law, pointed out earlier. The fact is that the idea of 'commonsense' and 'conscience' can be complementary to the question of 'merit' but can not constitute 'merit' itself.

IV

On the basis of the above discussions, we find that a number of privileges and disabilities were recognized in the pre-colonial legal system especially with regard to caste customs in particular and social aspects in general. This very recognition was not in consonance with the merit of rational thought and decision-making within that ambit.

The fact that the British too continued with the same pattern of justice - civil and criminal, despite their absolutely different notions of civilization and civilized persons, will be explored in the next chapter i.e. Tradition and Modernity in the British Volte-face'.

CHAPTER - 2

TRADITION VS MODERNITY: THE BRITISH VOLTE-FACE

With the establishment of British rule in India. quest for the acquisition of knowledge of classical languages started for various administrative as well as other purposes. With this notion in mind a systematic knowledge of Society began to develop much more smoothly from onwards. Inherent to this was the establishment of system, one of the important pillars of uniform legal This led to the interaction between British rule. indigenous legal system and that of the modern as in colonial rule. The former in its purview incorporated the general understanding of natural law, natural justice, customary law and panchayat justice and the latter emphasised a kind positivist as well as rationalist view of a particular actcivil or criminal and therefore, 'modern' in its conception. However, one should note that the modern legal system also paid attention to 'natural law and justice' and what is often referred to as 'Justice, equity and good conscience'.

Ι

The British perception about India was not static. We find constant shift and change in their perception as well as in formulation of different policies. This shift and change was the result of changing realities in the course of time. The particular region and people too played a vital role in

this process. Hutchins clearly points out the difference in their perception before and after the Mutiny. He says,

while before the Mutiny, reformers had looked to India for the realization of radical hopes frustrated at home ... India attracted the person who was disturbed by the growing democratization of English life - not the man who hoped to make India more democratic than England was herself willing to become. The man who came after the Mutiny was likely to be a man excited by the desire to rule rather than reform, concerned with British might, not Indian hopes; a man to whom the permanent subjection of India to the British yoke was not a repugnant thought.

Therefore, a brief outline of how Indian society has been perceived by the British, is essential before coming to the interactive relationship between the traditional and the modern legal system.

The Orientalists, by and large, believed that the texts were indeed accurate guides to the culture and society of the Hindus. Halhead, who provided the first compilation and translation from the <u>Dharmashastras</u> under the title 'A Code of Gentoo Laws' (1776) held that from such translations "may be formed a precise idea of the customs and manners of those people." This led to the view that the Brahmans were in the

^{1.} Francis G. Hutchins, <u>The Illusion of Permanence:</u>
British <u>Imperialism in India</u>, Princeton University
Press, 1967, pp. X-XI.

^{2.} Halhead, 1977, p. XI, Cited in B.S. Cohn 'Notes on the history of the study of Indian Society and Culture' in Structure and change in Indian Society, Millon Singer and B.S. Cohn, (ed.), Aldine Pub. Comp. Chicago, 1968, p. 7.

the social system, that they centre of prescribed differential punishments for crimes based on one's varna status which prohibited other varnas than Brahman from learning certain texts and which generally exalted the sacredness of Brahman. The criticism of this view that Cohn has made as to "the political structure of late century and early 19th century India, in which there were few Brahman dynastics, and political military power rested in the hands of other groups in the society" equates political military power of other groups with that of social power of But our understanding of Indian society the Brahman. suggests that social power encompasses politico-military power. This equation itself is problematic.

The other notable point is that the Orientalists have evaluated the 1857 revolt as a part of a reactionary process which hindered the process of modernization initiated by the British. To understand this, one will have to understand the political understanding of Orientalists. They had their own ways of understanding. They were opposed to several internal movements such as peasant and tribal movements. In their eyes, renalssance in India occurred in the 19th century and not before that. This brings the role of 'Fort William College' and 'Asiatic Society' under question in bringing about a genuine renaissance in India.

^{3.} Milton Singer and B.S. Cohn, op. cit. p.7

In contrast to the Orientalists, the Missionaries saw the society and culture as always having been corrupt, pernicious, and filled with absurdities; but both were of the view as to what the central principles and institutions of the society were. They agreed that it was a society in which religious ideas and practices underlay all social structure as well as in the primacy of the Brahman as the maintainer of the sacred tradition through his control of the knowledge of sacred texts.

The British were extremely cautious from the very beginning so far as the social aspects and belief-systems of Indians were concerned. This is clear from Hastings conscious reaction in favour of preserving Indian Society and its institutions against the threat that English law would displace the indigenous Hindu and Muslim systems. He protested, 'the people of this country do not require our aid to furnish them with a rule for their conduct, or a standard for their property'. Hastings's encouragement of oriental scholarship and, in particular, of Halhead's translation of Hindu laws were part of this attitude.

ΙI

Now, coming to the interactive relationship between the traditional legal system and the modern legal system, we find

^{4.} Milton Singer and B.S. Cohn, op. cit. p. 10.

Eric Stokes, <u>The English Utilitarians</u> and <u>India</u>, Oxford, The Clarendom Press, 1959, p.3.

that the scholarship is not very clear about this tradition vs modernity dichotomy in this regard. Moreover, the British themselves were confused (or acted tactfully) so far as this aspect is concerned. Their understanding of Indian culture and society and the contingencies of their rule did not allow them to supplant the traditional legal system altogether. The question of influence and getting influenced in this respect has been differently interpreted by different scholars.

A common thread that emerges out of various interpretations is that,

It was a fundamental and persisting policy that, in matters of family law, inheritance, caste and religion, Indians were not to be subjected to a single general territorial law. Hindus and Muslims were to be governed by their personal laws i.e. the laws of their religious groups.

It is worth noting that these were placed under exceptions. Here, the question emerges if the British were so genuinely concerned about evolving a general territorial law then why such double standards were followed, when they were confronted with hard social realities in the name of 'exceptions'. This attitude clearly points to a

^{6.} Rudolph and Rudolph (1967), J.D.M. Derrett (1963) and Marc Galanter (1989).

^{7.} Marc Galanter, <u>Law and Society in Modern India</u>, OUP, 1989, p. 18.

different face of the British. 8 It should also be noted that whenever one creates a category i.e. what ought to be a 'rule' and what ought to be an 'exception', it never happens in vacuum. There is always a thought process behind this. It is here that we find meaning of the word 'paternalism' in getting 'popular legitimacy'.

Marc Galanter points out that the salient features of a modern legal system include uniform territorial rules based on universalistic norms rather than of fixed statuses. These rules are administered by professionals, organized bureaucratically and employing rational procedure. When we examine the applicability of these features during the colonial period, we find that the British had not taken Society and culture in its totality and wherever they were confronted with complex issues like caste, religion etc., they made them 'exceptions' to their modernizing zeal.

A similar kind of fear can be discerned when Rudolph and Rudolph opine that Indian Law suggests how and why Indians, still closer to the consensual and face to face procedures of traditional law, might choose, even as modern Western law of late has, to incorporate such 'traditional' aspects into that

^{8.} This point will be further elaborated in the Chapter-3 where caste will be seen in legal discourse.

^{9.} Mare Galanter, op. cit. p. 15.

legal system. ¹⁰ They rightly point to the fact that the British advanced the written, more uniform, and profesionally interpreted law of the twice born castes (dharmasastra) at the expense of the parochial, diverse and orally transmitted customary law of villagers. ¹¹ This explains the dual character of the British in social aspects, referred to above. Even though over time the modern system enchroached on the traditional system that did not imply that traditional norms and concerns were displaced by official ones. ¹²

It is surprising that even the reformist zeal of the missionaries who were concerned with changing India rather than with maintaining the status quo was not reflected when the judgements were delivered. The ultimate decision of a particular case relating to caste customs was made on the basis of varna hierarchy which was reflective of maintaining the status quo. 13 J.D.M. Derrett makes it more clear by saying that the British respected fundamental customs; they even admitted Brahmins to be the proper authorities to determine certain disputes; and they tolerated the indigenous

^{10.} Lloyd I. Rudalph and Susanne H. Rudolph, <u>The Modernity of Tradition</u>: <u>Political Development in India University of Chicago Press</u>, 1967, p.12.

^{11.} Rudolph and Rudolph, op.cit., p. 254.

^{12.} Marc Galanter, op. cit., Foot-note, p. 21.

^{13.} The relevant cases will be discussed in Chapter 3 - Caste in legal discourse'.

system so long as it did not conflict with their own. 14

Another formula that the British applied in the absence of a rule from statute, the written sources of the personal law, custom, or case law, was according to 'justice, equity and good concience'. 15 The meaning and function of this formula is not very clear and therefore has been a matter of debate. This provision can, and occasionally does, produce contradictory results. 16 For example, a claim by, or through, an illegitimate child, in circumstances where the relevant system of law is silent, could be upheld on the ground that natural justice favours claims by natural relations, as opposed, for example, to the claim of the State by escheat; 17 or it could equally be rejected on the ground that to encourage heritable claims that deny the need for legitimacy and valid marriages between parents would be against public

774-525/

^{14.} Sarkar, Epochs, p. 209ff gives a more faithful picture than suggested by material cited by Sir G.C. Rankin, Background to Indian Law, Cambridge, 1946, pp. 3-6, Sarkar is quoted in J.D.M. Derrett, Law and the State in India; Faber and Faber, London, 1968, pp 278-79.

^{15.} Government of India Act, 1915, S.112.F.B. Tyabji, Muhammadan Law, 3rd edn., Bombay, 1940, Justice Equity and Good Conscience' in J.N.D. Anderson(ed.) Changing Law in Developing Countries, George Allen & Unwin Ltd., London, 1963, p. 114.

^{16.} Bijay K. Acharyya, <u>Codification in British India</u>, Calcutta, 1914, pp. 319-20, quoted in J.D.M. Derrett, in J.N.D. Anderson (ed.) <u>op. cit.</u> p. 114.

^{17.} Jagannath Gir Vs Sher, (1934) 57 Allahabad, 85, 100-1 quoted in J.D.H. Derrett, in J.N.D. Anderson (ed.) op. cit., p.114.

policy. 18

A further problem that this formula poses is regarding the nature of conscience. What will be the basis of the judge's conscience? Whether this will be his personal experience or the evidence relating to a particular case? Therefore, it seems that this was used where the positive law of the British itself was silent. This sort of formula can not be equated with the merit of a particular case-civil or criminal.

We might note, nevertheless, that Nagendra Singh gives a different version of the British `rule of law'. To quote him,

The British sense of legalism as embodied in the Parliamentary machinery of Westminster did not hesitate to impeach Warren Hastings, and it was this very legalism which led the Crown & Parliament to move step by step in the direction of establishing both de jure and de facto control over the country which may be said to have been completed in 1857. However, this was destined to yield place after 90 years to the liberation of the millions of this country, which decision was also dictated by a conscience born of constitutional legalism so characteristic of the British. (emphasis added).

Here too, it is clear that, when not concerned with the

^{18.} Meenakshi Vs Muniandi, A.I.R. 1915 Madras 63, 37 Col. i, quoted in J.D.M. Derrett in J.D.N. Anderson (ed.) op. cit., p. 114. Similar cases directly relating to caste customs will be traced in the Chapter-3.i.e. "Caste in legal discourse".

^{19.} Nagendra Singh, The Theory of Force and Organisation of Defence in Indian Constitutional History From earliest times to 1947, Bombay, Asia Publishing House, 1969, p. X.

governance of internal matters with possibly ambiguous and hence troublesome dimensions of an unfamiliar social structure, British functioning was quite different. However, to maintain the rule of law at the apex of a polity and especially through the mediation of an emerging and evolving parliamentary matter from the involvement of the precepts and practices within a governed colonial society.

III

There is no doubt, on the basis of above discussion about the British volte-face, about their own turning away from stated utilitarian rationality, especially when they were confronted with social aspects. It was here that their functioning was totally different rather opposite to their 'modern' rational inheritance. This speaks of why the ultimate decision of a particular case was always been made according to the indigenous legal system even if it did not fit into the framework of the British 'modern' legal system. It is interesting to note that in educational and economic aspect the British, in a way, imposed their rationality maintaining that the 'traditional' Indian populace would understand their 'civilizing intentions' in course of time. Here, they did not require to go into the minute details of the indigenous sources at their disposal. But they felt it very necessary to go into the minutest details of indigenous

^{20.} This difference will become more clear in Chapter 3.

laws and belief - systems in cases that related to social - aspects of Indian life. The motive behind the difference in their attitude is clear from this chapter.

Because of the above factors the British went into several compromises when these institutions were evolving. The legal system that was adopted was in considerable amount with an eye to hegemonize, dominate, and rule the Indian subcontinent.

CHAPTER - 3

CASTE IN LEGAL DISCOURSE: INSTANCES FROM THE 20th CENTURY

I

The analysis of 'Caste in legal discourse' during the colonial period points to the fact that its nature was not homogeneous. The primary sources that I have collected, both documentary and oral, suggest two different conclusions. The first set of sources clearly points to the fact that the British in a way sidetracked the very merit of the cases and maintained the varna hierarchy while the judgements were delivered. The analysis has not been confined to a particular region. An attempt has been made to incorporate as many cases as possible from different parts of the subcontinent.

The second set of sources provides an altogether different picture. Here we find that in the judgements of

^{1.} The documentary sources i.e. All India Reporters (A.I.R) and Indian Law Reporters (I.L.R.) that I have got from 'Indian Law Institute (I.L.I.)', New Delhi and 'Law Library' University of Delhi.

This includes both documentary and oral sources. The documentary source includes File No. 7 of 1926, Government of Bihar and Orissa, Political Department, Special Section, from the Bihar State Archives, Patna and the Oral Sources include recorded folk-song and interviews from in and around Lakho Chak by me.

the two cases from Lakho Chak³ in the district of Monghyr, Bihar where the British upheld the merits of these two Cases, Lhough under different circumstances⁴. Nonetheless, they were upheld. Though I have traced only these two Cases so far, more similar Cases can be traced in future in this direction. Since these two cases are the result of the Lakho Chak riot of 1925, an overall analysis of this incident is also required. This will be done in two separate chapters, later on.

This Chapter deals with the first set of sources as outlined earlier.

ΙI

In order to describe the judicial conceptualization of caste groups, Marc Galanter proposes four models. The first pair of views characterizes caste in terms of religious factors and the second pair of views is secular.

The first model sees the caste group as a component in an overarching sacral order of Hindu society. Hindu society

^{3.} The two Cases are, (a) Crown through Shah Anisur Rahman Versus Ambika Singh alias Medini Singh and 38 Others, File No. 7 of 1926, Govt. of Bihar and Orissa, Political Deptt. Special Section, p. 24, (b) Crown through I.S. Sachar Versus Ambika Singh alias Medini Singh and 32 Others, File No.7 of 1926, Government of Bihar and Orissa, Political Department, Special Section, p. 35.

This will be clarified in the Chapters 4 and 5.

^{5.} Marc Galanter, <u>Law and Society in Modern India</u>, OUP, 1989, pp. 142 44.

is seen as a differentiated but integrated order in which the different parts may enjoy different rights, duties, privileges and disabilities. These are determined by the position of the caste group in relation to the whole.

The second model can be called the sectarian view of caste which visualises caste as an isolable religious community distinguished from others by idiosyncratic doctrine, ritual or culture. It is a self-contained religious unit, dissociated from any large religious order. The rights and duties of the group and its members follow from its own characteristics, not from its place in a larger order.

The third model might be called the associational view of caste. Here, caste is seen as an autonomous association with its own internal order and rule-making powers, but characterized neither by a fixed place in some larger religious order nor by distinctive and idiosyncratic religious beliefs or practices. It is a body with its own principles of affiliation and its own internal order.

The fourth model, according to him, is the organic view of caste. Here, the caste group is seen as occupying a peculiar place in a social order made up of many such groups. This place is determined by a certain level of resources and attainments relative to other groups in society. This view charactrizes, as does the sacral model, the caste group in terms of its relative standing in a larger whole. But this organic view does not visualise the standing of a capte as

determined by its possession of Hindu ritual values as does the sacral view, but by its share of mundane accomplishments and resources. It does not take religious factors as the sole or primary determinant of the nature of the caste group; in this respect, it resembles the associational view. But this organic view, in contradiction to both the associational and the sectarian views, does not see the caste as an isolated or idiosyncratic entity.

Therefore, this seond pair of views is secular not in the sense that they omit religion entirely, but because they do not give it a central place.

The brief outline of these models is important for the discussion that will follow hereafter.

III

Our discussion of caste and colonial justice will be divided into three parts for the purpose of a proper understanding in the light of a variety of cases from all over India.

- (A) The recognition of castes as autonomous self-governing groups.
- (B) The recognition of claims for precedence and for the imposition of disabilities.

^{6.} This idea has been taken from Marc Galanter op. cit. p. 144 but most of the cases under discussion are my own findings.

(C) The administration of 'Personal law'.

The first question that emerged, while dealing with in legal discourse, was how certain issues should be dealt with in the Court as well as what the role of caste rules would be in this regard. It becomes clear from the case of Ratansey Virji V. Meghji Hirji Jangeali7 the judgement would not cross the boundary of (or go beyond) the caste rule or of natural justice. More important for purposes here, the caste was recognized as a group having the power to make rules for itself and to constitute tribunals to enforce these rules. While caste power was limited by the official Courts, which had exclusive jurisdiction over many matters (for example, criminal law), on most matters caste could make, modify, and revoke its rules. The majority, or the established authorities within the could not be overruled by the Civil Courts on these questions'. Caste questions were said to include all matters affecting the internal autonomy and social relations of a

^{7.} A.I.R. 1934, Bombay 431. The detailed analysis of this Case will be given later on.

^{8.} The only legislation directly impinging on caste autonomy was the Caste Disabilities Removal Act (Act XXI of 1850, also known as the Freedom of Religion Act), which provided that there was to be no forfeiture of civil or property rights 'by reason of renouncing or, having been excluded from the communion of any religion or being deprived of caste ...'., quoted in Marc Galanter, op. cit., p. 151.

caste. But they did not include, as Marc Galanter points out, the economic interests of the group, where these conflicted with the property right of a member. A caste then might make whatever rules it wished about these matters. It might forbid the wearing of European clothing, departure from customary headdress, crossing the sea; it might prohibit intercourse with members who participated in widow remarriage; it might excommunicate those who failed to observe customary avoidance of lower castes. 11

The Court took jurisdiction where they found that the claim was not merely for social acceptance or dignities but involved enforceable civil or property rights which included rights in caste property, the right to offices with pecuniary emoluments, and the right to reputation. Even here, the Courts were wary about the extent of intervention and set standards that emphasized procedural rather than substantive supervision. The Court would entertain claims only if: (a) that the decision of a caste tribunal had not been arrived at bonafides, (b) that the decision was taken under a mistaken belief, (c) that the decision was actually contrary to rules and usage of the caste; or (d) that it was contrary to

^{9.} Naginadas Narandas V. Somnath Premchand, A.I.R. 1932, Bombay 122.

Pothuraj Setty V. Padda Poliah, 1939 (1) Madras Law Journal, 116, quoted in Marc Galanter, op. cit., p.151.

Sri Sukratendra Thritha Swami of Kashi Mutt V. Prabhu,
 A.I.R. 1923 Hadras. 587 cf. Khamani V. Emperor, A.I.R.
 1926, Allahabad 306, quoted in Marc Galanter, op. cit.,
 p. 152.

natural justice. The last two were the most important of these rules. Violation of natural justice included omission of proper notice to the accused and the denial of an opportunity to the accused to be heard and to defend hismself.

This broad outline of the aspects of caste and colonial justice may be substantiated by the nature of judgements drawn from the extant recorded cases.

(A) THE RECOGNITION OF CASTES AS AUTONOMOUS SELF-GOVERNING GROUPS

The First Case, in this regard, is that of Ratansey Virji and Others - Defendants Appellants V. Maghji Hirji Jangeali and Others - Plaintiff - Respondents of 1934. This clearly points to the fact that the judgement had not gone beyond considerations of caste rule and natural justice. Since in this case the Defendants had violated both caste rules as well as those of natural justice, therefore, the Chief Justice overruled the decision of the caste assembly or Mahajan upholding the cause of the Plaintiff.

Let us now consider the particulars of this Case, in details.

The Plaintiff claimed that the declaration of the caste Mahajan expelling him from the caste was invalid. He pointed

^{12.} A.I.R., 1934, Bombay 431.

out that the acting President of the caste <u>Mahajan</u> took offence at his letters in which he had criticised the conduct of the President. (The exact wordings of his criticism were not mentioned in the Judgement). The Plaintiff was fined a sum of Rs. 101/- for his offence in writing the two letters in question. If the fine was not deposited within twenty four hours, he would be outcasted. Thereafter, the Plaintiff filed the above suit.

The Plaintiff argued in his defence that the letters involved no caste offence and that the caste Mahajan did not act in accordance with the rules of natural justice. He cited the rules passed by the caste in 1904 which provided that the proceedings of the Mahajan would be carried out according to the rules. The rules were outlined as under:

[If] it is found that any member or family of the Mahajan has committed any offence whatever with reference to the community, then such member or family will be called before the meeting of the managing committee for enquiry, and if the aforesaid committee finds anyone guilty after giving him full opportunity to defend himself and after fully considering every matter, then proper punishement will be meted out to him with the consent of the Mahajan.

Considering these aforesaid rules of the Cast Mahajan, the Court maintained that the Mahajan did not refer the matter for enquiry to the managing committee to which the Plaintiff was entitled. The Mahajan, further, violating the

^{13.} A.I.R., 1934, Bombay 431, p. 432.

caste rules themselves, initiated an enquiry whereas the Mahajan should have allowed the managing committee to initiate an enquiry.

Therefore, the Chief Justice held that the Plaintiff did not get the hearing before the managing committee to which he was entitled before the hearing of the entire caste Mahajan. The punishment meted out was not the punishment recommended by the managing committee but it was a punishment imposed by the orders of the entire caste Mahajan. Therefore, the expulsion of the Plaintiff was not in accordance with the rules. One the basis of this, the Chief Justice dismissed the appeal of the caste Mahajan.

Thus, one might conclude that the Court had not gone beyond caste rules and natural justice. This clearly shows that even the Judiciary took caste customs to be something of an axiom while other methods could have been deployed to reach the same decision. This also testifies to the intention of the British State towards social taboos prevalent then, that forced them to compromise with the prevalent realities.

The Second Case, that of Ramji Motichand-plaintiff $\,$ V. Naranji Purshottam Sangani and Others - defendants of $\,$ 1935 15 was on the same lines.

^{14.} A.I.R., 1934, Bombay 431, pp. 432-34.

^{15.} A.I.R., 1935, Bombay 268.

The Plaintiff was a member of the Dasa Sorathia Bania Caste in Bombay. He prayed in the suit for a declaration that his excommunication from the caste was void. His main contentions were that the proceedings which resulted in his excommunication were contrary to natural justice in that the procedure laid down by the rules of caste was not followed, that he committed no caste offence, that he received no notice of the charge or of the punishment proposed to be inflicted, that he received no notice of the caste meeting, that no notice was given to the members of the caste, that a charge against the Plaintiff which might result in his excommunication was to be dealt with at the meeting, and that there was no evidence before the caste upon which the caste could find him guilty. ¹⁶

The Judges held that what the Plaintiff was charged with was not a caste offence, and that the caste did not follow its own rules of procedure. The Plaintiff did not know that a charge was going to be made against him and that too of excommunication. Therefore, the notice which convened the caste meeting on 12th of May, 1935, was defective and the suit was decreed. 17

Here also, one can infer the usual trend of the judiciary of upholding the caste customs and natural justice

^{16.} A.I.R., 1935, Bombay 268, p. 269.

^{17.} ibid., p. 281.

by passing the arbitrary decision of the caste meetings (but sanctioning caste procedure) and maintaining the contemporary social stasis on the same grounds.

The Third Case under review, of Neclawa Dundappa Kohalli - plaintiff - appellant V. Gurshidappa Madiwalappa Pattanshetti and Others - defendants - respondents of 1937 18, deals with the question of excommunication from the Hindu religion.

This appeal arose out of the affairs of the family of one Madivalappa, who died of plague in 1916. He left behind him his widow, Gangawa and three daughters - Neelawa, Irawa and Sayawa. The main object of the suit was to have it declared that an adoption purported to have been made by the widow Gangawa on July 7, 1919 was invalid and of no effect; so that the inheritance of Madivalappa must remain with his daughters and not with the adopted son.

The Plaintiff's main objection against the adoption of a son by Gangawa was that she was an unchaste wife and must, therefore, be taken as disquilified to adopt. It was further argued that the father and the adopted son were outcasted by the village priest because they had dishonoured the order of Gurumuni (village priest). It was also argued that the adopted son as well as the father were outcasted, altogether from Hindu religion.

^{18.} A.I.R., 1937, Bombay 169.

To decide this dispute, the Court cited Lwo passaged (from Golapchandra Sarkar Shastri's <u>Hindu Law of Adoption</u>, 2nd edition, 1916, p.203) as given below:

According to the sages, commission of certain offences involves degradation which is of three degrees in proportion to the gravity or enormity of the misconduct, namely, Maha-Pataka or worst degradation, anu-pataka or lesser degradation, and upa-pataka or slight degradation. Maha-pataka or worst degradation is punishable by expulsion from caste; and the offender is considered to be dead, and Hindu law directs the purformance of exequial ceremonies for him, as if he were naturally so.

The second passage was,

As regular excommunication is not found at the present day, and as persons who might be outcasted are not liable to be deprived of their civil rights, the question of their capacity to adopt is not expected to arise. Nor can there be any valid objection to their adopting sons; the expulsion from the communion of the Hindu religion cannot incapriciate them, any more than the Jainas, for affiliating sons.

On the basis the above mentioned passages, the Judge held that though the father was excommunicated, the son still remained within the caste. There was, therefore, no objection to his being adopted. Secondly, the evidence put forward by the Plaintiff could not prove that Gangawa was unchaste. Thirdly, the respondent did not commit any crime of the degree of Maha-pataka or worst-degradation. Therefore, the appeal was dismissed in the favour of the

^{19.} A.I.R., 1937, Bombay 169, p. 172.

^{20. &}lt;u>ibid</u>.

Respondent. 21

Thus, we find that the Court went into the minutest details not only of the scriptures but even the other texts outlining the general principles of the traditional legal system. It shows how cautious the Judiciary was while passing the judgement relating to social aspects. In the above case, it maintained the level of the degree of degradation, as sanctioned by the conventions of the caste group in question, while upholding the claim of the Respondent.

In the Fourth Case of Naginadas Narandas - appellant V. Somnath Premchand - respondent of 1932, 22 the Plaintiff sued for the declaration that they had a right individually to inspect the accounts and documents of the caste managers. The Defendants were the managers of the caste, to whom the caste properties were vested.

Mr. Divatia, on behalf of the appellant, said that the right to inspect the accounts relating to the management of the property of the caste was a 'caste question' and therefore, the Court had no jurisdiction over it. He said that this case was civil in its nature and therefore, the Court should respect the rules in this regard. This rule ran

^{21.} A.I.R., 1937, Bombay 169, p. 173.

^{22.} A.I.R., 1932, Bombay 122

as follows :

It being understood that no interference on the part of the Court in caste questions is hereby warranted beyond the admission and trial of any suit instituted for the recovery of damages on account of an alleged injury to the caste and character of the Plaintiff arising from some illegal act or unjustifiable conduct of the other party.

But the Chief Justice held a different view of a `caste question' and cited two passages from the two earlier decisions. He said that (in Raghunath Damodhar V. Janardhan Gopal (5) p. 611) the `caste' was understood in the following words:

The caste is a social combination, the members of which are entitled by birth, not by enrolment. Its rules consist partly of resolutions passed from time to lime, but for the most part of usages handed down from generation to generation. The caste is not a religious body, though its usages, like all other Hindu usages, are based upon religious feelings. In religious matters, strictly so called, the members of caste are guided by their religious preceptors and their spiritual heads. In the social matters, they lay down their own laws.

Then, he cited another judgement (in Appaya V. Padappa (6)) which pointed out that the 'caste question' was 'a question which relates to matters which affect the internal autonomy of the caste and its social relations'.

On the basis of these two judgements, the Chief Justice

- 23. A.I.R., 1932, Bombay 122.
- 24. <u>ibid</u>.
- 25. <u>ibid</u>.

held that, in this case, it was not suggested on behalf of the Defendants that there were any usages which restricted the rights of the Plaintiffs to an inspection of the accounts relating to the management of the caste properties. Nor was it suggested that there were any rules made or resolutions passed by the caste which stood in the way of the Plaintiff's claim. Therefore, the suit was dismissed upholding the right claimed by the Plaintiff. 26

Contrary to all these cases, where the question at issue was not a matter relating to the internal administration and affairs of caste, but to the property of the caste, the court had jurisdiction to interfere. Such jurisdiction of the court was not excluded, in case, there was a division of opinion in the caste in question. 27

The Plaintiff sued for a declaration that they had a right to manage the suit <u>Wadi</u>, to let it to tenants and to realize the rent, that the Defendants had not such a right to get permanent injunction against them and to restrain them from making any such use.

27. Fulchand Mohanlal and Others - Defendants - Appellants V. Harilal Nansa and Others- Plaintiff - Respondents, A.I.R., 1926, Bombay 69. meeting convened on December 12, 1920, to pass some resolutions in respect of the caste, as the Defendants and one Vallabh had unauthorizedly got the management and did not submit accounts, and the property had been wasted. They further alleged that the caste entrusted the <u>Vahivat</u> of the property to the Defendants, and they were bound to hand it back to the Plaintiff, in accordance with the caste resolutions.

The Defendants contended that two factions existed in the caste and that the Plaintiff's faction had no right to have the management. They also claimed that the jurisdiction of the Court did not therefore extend thus far.

The Trial Judge held that the Plaintiffs did not prove that the two factions in the Kalupur Panchpura caste had amalgamated, and he accordingly dismissed the suit.

On appeal, the Judge said,

Considering all facts I am satisfied that there was a re-union of the factions in 1969 V.S., 1913 A.D., that it is in accordance with the resolution passed by the majority at a meeting of which the minority defendants ... had perfect and legal notice and at which they could have had their say, if they chose to do so ... If the Defendants ... chose to absent themselves and remain away they must thank themselves for the consequences.

That was a finding by the judge that there were not two

^{28.} Fulchand Mohanlal and Others Defendants - Appellants V. Harilal Nansa and Others- Plaintiff - Respondents, A.I.R., 1926, Bombay 69, p. 70.

was split up into two divisions, so that a meeting of one section or faction would not bind the members of the opposite faction, and that, therefore, jurisdiction of the court was not excluded.

Finally, the Judge agreed in holding the decision of the Lower Appellate Court on the ground that the question arising in this sense was not a question between two distinct sections of the Modh Ghanchi caste of Kalupur Panchpura, but that the suit was brought against Defendants, personally. Therefore, the appeal was dismissed.

On the whole, we have a judicial view of caste more congenial to the sectarian or associational models than to the sacral one. Castes were seen as independent bodies with their own internal order, and the rights and duties of individual members followed from this order. This order was not determined by the position of the caste in an overarching order of Hindu Society.

(B) THE RECOGNITION OF CLAIMS FOR PRECEDENCE AND FOR THE IMPOSITION OF DISABILITIES

Even before the coming of the British, certain privileges and disabilities of various caste groups were recognised in India. Indeed, such enforcement of caste order

^{29.} Fulchand Mohanlal and Others - Defendants - Appellants V. Harilal Nansa and Others- Plaintiff - Respondents, A.I.R., 1926, Bombay 69, p. 71.

is urged by Hindu legal tradition as the prime duty of the King. This continued in the British rule but not on the same scale, nonetheless, it continued. This presumption was justified on the basis of upholding customary rights, but these rights were often conceptualized in terms of the religious characteristics of caste groups.

While Hinduism was seen as a unified and overarching order, it was also seen as diffrentiated. The religious obligations and prerogatives of groups differred according to their status in overall social hierarchy. This is evident from a Case of 1940³⁰, where Brahmans tore the sacred thread from the neck of an Ahir who had lately taken to wearing it. The Court ruled that, since he was a Sudra, the wearing of it was not 'part of his religion' vis-a-vis other Hindus. them, it was an assertion of a claim to higher rank. injury was not to Therefore, the his religious susceptibilities an offence - but only to his dignity. Had it been torn by non-Hindus, it might have been an insult to his religion itself.

This particular instance clearly proves that even the Judiciary recognised prevailing privileges and disabilities in various caste groups. The Courts clearly expressed their notion of a rank ordering of all Hindu groups in a scheme of articulated prerogatives and disabilities. It seems 30. Sheo Shankar V. Emperor, A.I.R., 1940, Oudh 348, quoted in Marc Galanter, op.cit. p. 148.

imperative to look at the position of caste as a whole - its position on the scale relative to the other groups - to ascertain its rights.

The judgement in the Case of the Maharaja of Kolhapur -Defendant - Appellants V. S.Sundaram Ayyar and Others -Plaintiffs and Defendants - Respondents of 1925 established solid grounds for the recognition of claims for precedence and for the imposition of disabilities (as these were related to questions of caste) by the Court. The main substance of the Case was whether the family, to which the late Raja of Tanjore belonged, was a family of Kshatriyas or Sudras. was in this regard that the Court went through various tests which were evolved by the Brahmans, who were the interpretors of Hindu laws. For example, caste was the result of birth and not of choice or volition; though a person might lose caste, he could not by any act of his rise to a higher caste. The mere performance of ceremonies which were performed by the twice-born classes would not elevate the Sudras or the fourth class to the status of the twice-born class, though where the caste of a family is doubtful and its origin cannot traced, the performance of Vedic or Puranic rituals without any objection for a long series of years, would be important evidence as to the caste.

Upanayanam was made a test for this and it was said

^{31.} A.I.R., 1925, Madras 497.

that it was the ceremony which differentiated the three higher classes, namely the Brahman, the Kshatriya and the Vaisya, from the Sudra. Where in cases of controversy, as whether a person belonged to the twice-born classes or Sudra class, it was shown that Upanayanam ceremony performed, it was a very important piece of evidence to show that the person belonged to the Sudra caste and not to the higher caste. Surprisingly enough, the interpreters maintained that the converse case of non- performance of Upanayanam by the twice-born would not necessarily show that the person not performing it belonged to the lower class. cases of Sudras for whom Upanayanam ceremony was not prescribed, there was a practice of putting on the sacred thread at the lime of the marriage or funeral ceremonies. This was called the Alankar Poonool or Laukika Poonool the ornamental sacred thread) and merely an imitation of higher classes involving no religious consequences not being prescribed by any of the **Emritis**. 32

Closely connected with the <u>Upanayanam</u> ceremony, as per Justice Kumaraswami Sastri, 33 is the <u>Gayatri Upadesa</u> or the teaching of the sacred verse in the <u>Gayatri mantra</u> at the <u>Upanayanam</u> that really confers on the Brahmin, the Kshatriya and the Vaishya the status of a twice-born. The mantras which the <u>Sudras were authorised</u> to use were the first verse 32. A.I.R., 1925, Madras 497, p. 553.

33. <u>ibid</u>.

in <u>Devi Bhaghvatham</u> and not the <u>Gayatri</u>. Vedic mantras were prescribed only for the three higher or twice-born classes and prohibited for the Sudras. In the case of Sudras, rituals had to be performed with mantras taken from the Puranas, which, although looked upon with reverence by the mass of Hindus, ranked below the Vedas in order of sacredness.

The Court held that the Ranis of the late Raja stated in written statement, that they were Sudras. Apart from this, the Court held <u>Upanayanam</u> as an important evidence in determining as to whether the person belonged to the twiceborn class or the Sudra class. In this case, it was shown that <u>Upanayanam</u> ceremony was not performed. On the basis of this, the Chief Justice held that the late Raja of Tanjore belonged to the Sudra class and not to the twice born class. 34

Now, it is amply clear that sources of the traditional legal system acted as the precedence for imposing disabilities. This also shows, how seriously the Court was following the tests which were socially accepted by the people to differentiate between the twice born classes and the Sudra class.

With respect to the use of religious premises, caste groups did enjoy support of the courts in upholding their claims for preference and exclusiveness. Courts granted 34. A.I.R., 1925, Madras 497.

injuctions to restrain members of particular castes from entering temples — even temples that were publicly supported and dedicated to the entire Hindu Community. Damages were awarded for purificatory ceremonies necessitated by the pollution caused by the presence of lower caste. Such pollution was actionable as a trespass to the persons of the higher caste worshippers. It was a criminal offence for the members of an excluded caste, knowingly, to pollute a temple by his presence. These rights to exclusiveness were vindicated by the Courts not only where the interlopers were 'untouchable' but also against such 'touchable' as Palshe Brahmans and Lingayats, whose presence in a particular temple was polluting.

In these cases, the Courts were giving effect to the notion of an overarching, differentiated Hindu ritual order in which the various castes were assigned, by text or by custom, certain prerogatives and disabilities to be measured by concepts of Varna, of pollution, and of required

^{35.} Anandrav Bhikiji Phadke V. Shankar Daji Charya, I.L.R., Bombay 323 (1883); Sankaralinga Nandan V. Raja Rajeswara Dorai, 35 T.A.C. 176 (1908); Chathunni V. Appukuttan, A.I.R., 1945 Madras 232, quoted in Marc Galanter op. cit. p. 147.

^{36.} Cf. S.K. Wodeyar V. Ganapati, A.I.R. 1935 Bombay 371, where damages were awarded although the parties agreed there should be no finding on the question of pollution, quoted in Marc Galanter, op. cit., p. 147.

^{37.} Atmaram V. King-Emperor, A.I.R. Nagpur 121, quoted in Marc Galanter, op. cit. p. 147.

ceremonial distance. Thus, in Anandrav Bhikiji Phadke V. Shankar Daji Charya of 1883, the Court upheld the right of Chitpavan Brahmans to exclude Palshe Brahmans from worshipping at a temple, on the ground that such an exclusive right 'is one which the courts must guard, as otherwise all high caste Hindus, would hold sanctuaries and perform their worship, only so far as those of the lower castes chose to allow them.' 38

On the whole, it is worth mentioning that wherever the British Government intervened, it upheld custom and this custom was evaluated and rationalized by the courts in terms of notions of ceremonial purity and pollution - existing in different degrees among different groups of Hindus.

(C) THE ADMINISTRATION OF 'PERSONAL LAU'

The Hindu law applied by the Courts in matters of 'Personal Law' did not address itself to the multitude of caste groups, but recognized only the four Varnas. Under the legal system, which the British established in India, all persons were subject to the same law in criminal, civil and commercial matters. However, a group of matters that might be described as 'family law' - marriage and divorce, adoption, joint-family, guardianship, minority, legitimacy, inheritance and succession and religious endowments - was set

^{38.} I.L.R. 7 Bombay 323 (1883), quoted in Marc Galanter, op. cit., p. 147-48. This case has an obvious background of the rise of the non-Brahmin movement in nineteenth century Maharashira.

aside and left subject to the laws of the various religious The applicable laws in these fields were communities. 'personal' rather than territorial. In these family and religious matters, Hindus were ruled by Dharmashastras by the ancient texts as such, but by the texts as interpreted by the commentators accepted in the locality. 39 Marc Galanter also maintains that the judicial treatment the relation between Varna and Jati was plagued confusion, engendered in part, by the use of `caste' refer to both the four varnas into which Hindu society, theoretically, was divided by the Sanskrit law-books and to the multitude of existing endogamous groups or jatis. Mostly, caste was used in judicial matters only in its meaning jati. The personal law contained a number of instances. which different rules were to be applied to members different varnas - in most cases, one rule for the three twice-born varnas and a different rule for the irrespective of the particular jati manifestation of any given social context. The most notable of these differences were in the laws of succession, of adoption and of marriage.

The following illustrations from various judgements reflect how varna and <u>jati</u> issues were implicated in a wide variety of ways in variegated contentations.

In a case from the Patna High Court of 1930 involving

^{39.} Marc Galanter, op. cit., pp. 144-45.

Bakshish Rai and Others, 40 a widow was found guilty of unchastity and therefore her caste members appealled that she should be deprived of her caste as well as estate. Leaving aside the details of the whole discussion, we might note that eventually, it was decided that "The widow, ... could not have been deprived of her estate by the reason of her unchastity as the man with whom she misbehaved [had illicit relations] was a man of her own caste, namely a Babhan." 41

This shows us how the decision of the Case was made in consideration of the broad varna hierarchy.

In 1929, a certain panchayat had passed a resolution to the effect that no member of the Panchayat should marry a second wife in the lifetime of his first. Whosoever would do so disregarding the resolution, shall not be considered a member of the brotherhood. A member married a second time, when his first wife was living. At a meeting of the Panchayat, an arbitrator was appointed to settle this newly arisen dispute. The arbitrator decided that the member should pay Rs. 500/- as fine. The decision was accepted by the member as binding on him. When he refused to pay, a suit was brought to enforce the award in the Case of Naraindas Assammal and Others V. Valabdas Vishandas and Others of

^{40.} Bakshish Rai and others, A.I.R., 1930, Patna 564.

^{41. &}lt;u>ibid</u>., p. 564.

1929.42

The Court held that a suit of this nature would clearly relate to matters affecting the internal autonomy of the caste and its social relations and it would not be open to the Court to go into the question of the validity or otherwise of a rule laid down by the Panchayat, or with the adequacy or propriety of a fine imposed on a person breaking that rule as an alternative punishment. Although, the deliquent here agreed to pay the fine, yet the agreement involved a caste question and therefore, no suit would be entertained to enforce it.

Then, we have the Case of Gokul Teli V. Bania Chamar 1924 1924 relating to the issue of excommunication of a person from his caste because of the adultery of his wife. The Court decided this Case on the line of varna model which said that if the adultery has been committed with a lower caste person then the excommunication will be valid and if the adultery has been committed with higher or equal caste then not valid.

Further, the Case of Chandra Sekhar Sen and Gopendra Nath Das and Rohini Benode Rakshit of 1939^{44} may be

^{42.} Naraindas Assammal and Others - Plaintiff V. Valabdas Vishandas and Others - Defendants, A.I.R. 1929, Sind 1.

^{43.} A.I.R. 1924, Nagpur 157, pp. 157-58.

^{44.} A.I.R. 1939, Calcutta 417, pp. 417-18.

considered.

A man named Dukhiram, an Indian Christian, married an Indian christian woman named Sudakhina. He was, subsequently, converted to Mohamedanism and contracted a marriage with a Mohamedan woman named Alfatnnessa. Now, the question that arose was whether an Indian Christian, who became converted to Mohamedanism, can take a second wife or not. The contention raised on behalf of the Appellant was that he could not, and the union of Dukhiram with Alfatannessa was an adulterous connexion.

The judges decided that after his conversion, Dukhiram was governed by the Mohamedan law. There could be no question that under that law, he was entitled to contract a valid marriage with Alfatannessa. It would, therefore, be a serious thing to say that such a union was a mere adulterous connexion. Hence, the judges held that he was entitled to contract this marriage under the Mohamedan law, it must be a valid marriage and the appeal was subsequently dismissed. So, the personal law was maintained intact.

This last, preceding, instance has been described to emphasize how personal law was respected as a point of reference even when the issue related to questions of community - in this instance, those of two non-Hindu religions - and not only of caste.

A pertinent question arises: What other options did
the legal system allow for? or, are there contrastive
cases from other societies in which a perfectly "rational"
viewpoint was made to prevail over the historical contingency
of local custom?

Let us, most briefly, compare the Indian situation with other colonical societies, where "law" and legal systems were exported or grafted.

T. Olawale Elias clearly points out that,

When the English first settled in places like West Indian Islands, Gibraltar and Malta, they took with them so much of English laws as was necessary regulate their lives there. There was no immediate question οf the recognition indigenous law, since the natives formed only a small proportion of the local population; but such traditional laws and customs as existed were allowed to govern the liver of those concerned. It therefore, clear that no issue administrative policy arises in regard to such parts of the British colonial dependencies.

However, in respect of the Asian, African and Pacific dependencies of Britain, policy becomes a live issue

Thus, there is no doubt that the British were quite conscious as to whether they had to recognise local customs or not to recognise them. They were guided more by the pragmatism commanded by prevalent situations than by the 45. T. Olawale Elias, A Comparative Study of the Interaction between English and Local Laws in British Dependencies, London, Stevens and Sons Ltd., 1962, 1962, 1979.

abstract merits and demerits of `exported' or `grafted' laws or doctrinaire legal or social theories.

V

The nature of the judgements reviewed, reveals the fact that the Courts would not go beyond the caste rules which were made from time to time for peaceful coexistence. The Courts paid proper attention to the caste rules and to natural justice and if these were not followed, the case subsequently got dismissed.

But, the question emerges as to why the Courts did not act independently according to the merits of the cases disregardful of local customs and the weight of inequitable historical circumstances. Why did they need to look at these cases according to their own rules and procedures, placing too much emphasis on natural justice? This clearly indicates that the British had to rule India and for that, they did not want to disrupt the traditional Indian belief-system.

CHAPTER - 4

THE LAKHO CHAK RIOT OF 1925: WHAT THE DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE TELLS US OR "THE JUDGEMENT OF PRACTICE"

Since the nature of judgements during the colonial period was not homogeneous, the British sometimes upheld the merits of some cases without seeking any compromise with the existing social order. In 1925-26, in the judgements of two Cases from Lakho Chak¹ in the district of Monghyr, Bihar, the British upheld the merits of these Cases. Even though, it was done under different circumstances, nonetheless, it was done. So far, I have traced only these two examples but more similar cases can be traced in furture in this direction. Since these two Cases are the result of the Lakho Chak Riot of 1925, an overall analysis of this incident is required.

I

Lakho Chak is situated under Lakhisarai Police Station in the district of Monghyr, Bihar. Monghyr lies in the central part of the state. Comprised within the Bhagalpur

^{1.} The two Cases are, (a) Crown through Shah Anisur Rahman versus Ambika Singh alias Medni Singh and 38 Others, File No. 7 of 1926, Government of Bihar and Orissa, Political Department, Special Section, p.24; (b) Crown through I.S. Sachar versus Ambika Singh and 32 Others, File No.7 of 1926, Government of Bihar and Orissa, Political Department, Special Section, p. 35.

^{2.} This will become clear in the process of a detailed analysis of the judgements, later on. Chapter 5 will throw some more light in this regard.

division, Monghyr is bounded on the north by Darbhanga and Saharsa districts, on the east by Bhagalpur, on the west by Gaya and Patna. It contains four subdivisions, viz., Monghyr Sadar, Jamui, Begusarai, and Khagaria, the headquarters of which are located in towns bearing the names of the respective subdivisions. There are 39 <u>anchal</u>-cum-community development blocks and 34 Police Stations in the District. 3

ΙI

This Chapter is based on some Government reports as well as newspaper reports on a dispute between Babhans and Goalas leading to the Lakho Chak Riot in 1925. These reports are to be found in File No. 171 of 1925, Political (Special), Government of Bihar and Orissa and File No. 7 of 1926, Political (Special), Government of Bihar and Orissa. Various newspapers, e.g., 'The Searchlight', 'The Statesman', 'Forward', 'The Leader', The Pioneer' reported this riot on June 5 and 6, 1925.

Let us examine the reports on the Lakho Chak riot of 1925. The causes and character of this riot will be evident from the following extracts of the three reports quoted below.

^{3.} L.S.S.O' Malley, <u>Bengal District Gazetteer - Monghyr</u>, Bengal Secretariat Book Depot, Calculla, 1909, P.1, P.C. Roy Chaudhury, <u>Bihar District Gazetteer - Monghyr</u>, Bihar, Supdt., Sectt. Press, Patna, 1960, p.1 and S.D. Prasad, <u>Census 1961 - District Census Handbook</u> (8) Monghyr, State Government Publications, P.i.

The first report on the riot at Kiul was made by the then D.I.G., Bihar and Orissa . The extract of the report was as under :

Motive of the Riot

The riot was between the Babhans and Goalas. Goalas had convened a meeting which they claim was a purely social one. The Babhans intended to break ostensibly because the conspiring to adopt certain privileges peculiar to the higher castes as, for instance, the wearing of the sacred thread. This was the ostensible The true motive is that there movement amongst the Goalas to resist certain exactions of their zamindars. Many Goalas told me that from 7 to 15 days a month in Kartik and Bhado they have to plough for their zamindars without payment; that they have to sell them ghee at 6 seers to the rupee when the proper price is 10 chatanks to the rupee, milk at 1 pice instead of 1 6 cow pats per coy free, and that they are not given rent receipts.

This above quoted extract gives us an idea of the dimension of the economic grievances of the riot.

The second report was made by J.D. Sifton to the Secretary to the Government of India. Sifton was the then Chief Secretary to the Government of Bihar and Orissa. This provides a fuller account of the course of that incident. To quote the extract:

On the evening of the 26th May [1925] the local police of Lakhisarai thana were informed that an

^{4.} File No. 171 of 1925, Political (Special), Government of Bihar and Orissa, quoted in Hetukar Jha, "Lower-Caste Peasants and Upper Caste Zamindars in Bihar (1921-25): An Analysis of Sanskritization and Contradiction between the Two Groups", in The Indian Economic and Social History Review, Vol. XIV, Oct.-Dec. 1977, No. 4, p. 552.

attack was contemplated by local Babhan zamindars upon a panchayat of Goalas which was called for the morning of the 27 May at a village Lakho Chak to discuss matters of caste improvement. The local police sent information to the D.M. at Monghyr and proceeded to the scene of the intended riot. The Superintendent of Police started the same night from Monghyr with 17 armed police.

On the morning of the 27th, before the arrival of the armed police at Lakho Chak a large body of rioters advanced upon the village. The local police intervened to expostulate and were at once surrounded, the Sub-Inspector and chaukidar received grievous injuries and the other constables of the party were hurt. After illtreating the local police, the rioters retired temporarily but returned to the attack soon after the arrival of the S.P. with his force. Superintendent and S.D.O. went out to meet advancing rioters and attempted to parley with them. The attacking party, however, to the number of about 3000 armed with lathis, axes and spears continued to advance and the police were forced to fire to protect themselves and the Goalas. Although temporarily checked by the fire, the Babhan party continued to advance as they outflanked the police on the both sides, the police were forced to retire fighting to the village site three or four hundred yards to their rear. The retirement was effected in good order and after the defending party reached the village rioters withdrew.

The same evening the D.M. arrived in person with a reinforcement of 32 armed police but no further attack was made nor has there been any subsequent disturbance in the district.

The Goala community in South Bihar for some time past has been agitating for the improvement of the social status of their caste and [pari passu with taking the sacred thread they have been proposing to refuse menial and other services hitherto rendered to their landlords. Apparently the local landlords resenting this collected a force in order to break up an important Panchayat of] the Goalas and there seems little doubt that but for the timely intervention of the police, the village of Lakho Chak would have been sacked and burnt and the members of the Goala Panchayat would

have suffered severely. 5

Thus, from this report a sketch of the follow-up developments of the riot becomes clear. The importance of this sketch has its bearings on the judgements of the First Class Magistrate.

The third report in this regard was made by S.A. Khan, District Officer, Bhagalpur to the Commissioner of Bhagalpur on July 7, 1925. This report provides the social causes of the riot. The extract of the report is given below:

Goalas are taken as shudras and thus suffer from a lot of handicaps in the mofussil. As a class they do not wear the sacred thread, an emblem of high class and purity amongst the Hindus. They live by cultivation and very often work as field labourers Man and woman of this class will for others. readily sell milk, curd, ghee and cow dung at market places. They sometimes go about hawking in bazars. Early marriages, illiteracy drinking habits are very common. The movement primarily directed against these social evils. Meetings are held at which members are asked to start wearing the sacread thread, give up early marriages, drinking and sending their women to sell things at markets. They are asked to believe that they are as closely connected to the Lord Krishna as other Hindus castes and should perform the \underline{Sradh} ceremony on the 13 th day after a demise like them and not on the 30 th like low castes, such as Dusadha, Mushars etc. So far movement is purely social.

^{5.} File No. 171 of 1925, Political (Special), Government of Bihar and Orissa, quoted in Hetukar Jha, "Lower-Caste Peasants and Upper-Caste Zamindars in Bihar (1921 25): An Analysis of Sanskritization and Contradiction between the Two Groups", in The Indian Economic and Social History Review, Vol. XIV, no. 4, October-December, 1977, p.553.

But there is one more aspect of it. The low caste Hindus generally do some kind of benign work for their landlords. A Goala after he takes sacred thread refused to do <u>begari</u> or even to plough up or weed the fields for his Rajput or Brahman landlords. The latter naturally is very much annoyed and would do anything to discourage the infection of reform amongst Goalas from spreading. The higher caste Hindus have made no secret of their feelings of resentment. Meetings have been held to condemn the movement.

The social boycott of Goalas have been advocated. Barbers and Brahman priests have been asked to stop serving the Goalas who take to the sacred thread. Abuses, taunts and jokes have been hunted on the Goalas. A printed leaflet in Hindi has been distributed. This is in verse and is sung by hired boys in the mofussil.

This above quoted extract gives us an idea of the dimension of the social grievances of the riot.

III

Thus on the basis of above cited reports we can say that the causes of the riot were both social and economic.

The social factors leading to the riot were of the 'Sanskritizing' process as well as 'self-purificatory' in nature. The Goalas' effort to adopt upper caste privileges, i.e. the wearing of sacred thread and performing the <u>Sradh</u> ceremony on the 13th day after a demise like them and not on

^{6.} File No. 171 of 1925, Political (Special), Government of Bihar and Orissa, quoted in Hetukar Jha, "Lower-Caste Peasants and Upper-Caste Zamindarn in Bihar (1921-25): An Analysis of Sanskvitization and Contradiction between the Two Groups", in The Indian Economic and Social History Review Vol. XIV, no. 4, October-December, 1977, p 554.

30th like low castes to enhance their social position clearly comes under the 'Sanskritization model'. But their efforts to give up early marriages, drinking, sending their women folk to sell things at markets and to eradicate illiteracy from their community fall under 'Self Purificatory Model'. However, to Hetukar Jha it was only the process of 'Sanskritization'. I think there is a need to explain 'self-purificatory model' differently from that of the 'Sanskritization model' as differentiated above.

The Goalas' resistance against certain exactions of their zamindars formed the economic basis of the riot. The low caste Hindus generally did do some kind of benign work for their landlords. Hetukar Jha rightly points out that,

A people wearing the sacred thread are taken as respectable and are exempt from gratis services of this type. They would also take it to be very derogatory to work as daily labourers or even as hired ploughmen. It is here that the movement collides with the vested interests of the higher castes of Hindus.

It was because of this vested interest that the landlords and upper caste men wanted to thwart the Panchayat of Goalas at any risk. The obvious result was the violent attack by the landlords and upper caste men on the Goala Sabha at Lakho Chak in 1925.

^{7.} File No. 171 of 1925, Political (Special), Government of Bihar and Orissa, quoted in Hetukar Jha, "Lower-Caste Peasants and Upper-Caste Zamindars in Bihar (1921-25): An Analysis of Sanskitization and Contradiction between the Two Groups", in The Indian Economic and Social History Review, Vol. XIV, no. 4, October to December, 1977, p. 554.

Let us see this violent attack in detail so that we may observe how far this was related to the social scenario as well as the questions of caste customs which ultimately resulted in the judgements of the two cases under review. These judgements will be compared with that of the High Court judgements substantially discussed in Chapter Three.

CASE NO.1

The judgement of the First Case was delivered by M. Shamsul Huda, the First Class Magistrate, Monghyr on 1st February, 1926 involving Crown through Shah Anisur Rahman versus Ambika Singh alias Medni Singh and 38 Others.

Before the actual judgement it is pertinent to see the background of this case in detail.

Shah Anisur Rahman was the sub inspector of police of Lakhisarai, within which Lakho Chak village comes, in May 1925 when the rioting between Babhans and Goalas occurred at Lakho Chak. He was the complainant or first informant in the case. The facts run as under

On 26th May, 1925, one Sital Das Mahton [a prosecution witness], <u>Panch</u> of District Goala Sabha and resident of Shankarpur within Monghyr police station lodged <u>Sanha</u> to the effect that a Goala Sabha was going to be convened at Lakho Chak

^{8.} File No. 7 of 1926, Political Department (Special Section), Government of Bihar and Orissa, Bihar State Archives, Patna, pp. 24-34.

on 27th May 1925 to which Prasidh Narayan Singh and other Babhans were taking objection and there was apprehension of breach of peace as the Babhans were bent upon stopping the Goala Sabha which was to meet for giving sacred thread to the Goalas and to discuss <u>Bhent-Begari</u> other matters ... Another <u>Sanha</u> was lodged to this effect by Dwarka Lal Daffadar and Banka Dusadh Chowkidar

Before proceeding to the spot, the Sub-Inspector intimated the Superintendent of Police and Divisional Inspector respectively apprising them of the situation and requesting them to send armed constable, to prevent breach of peace. The S.I. with his staff reached Lakho Chak at about 5.30 P.M. on 26 May, 1925. They found that the Goalas had assembled and were assembling for their Sabha in a Maidan or Bagicha to the east of Lakho Chak Basti. The report mentions the incident in the following manner:

At about 5 A.M. on 27th May 1925, halla was raised that the mob of Babhans which numbered about were seen coming towards the place of Sabha The Goalas in the Sabha stood up and north-east. wanted to go ahead and meet the Babhans. The S.I. and his staff pacified them and asked them not to do anything and be calm and quiet while they (S.I. and his staff) went to reason with the mob. Prasidh Warayan Singh and Bissessor Singh were leading the mob]. The mob were armed with all sorts of weapons-lathis, pharsas, Ganrasas, swords and spears. The S.I. began to reason with the mob and addressing Prasidh Singh and others asked them do anything illegal or cannot breach of not to The mob paid no heed and on getting hint from the leaders fell upon the S.I. and his staff. The S.I. and constables were severely assaulted and they fell down uncounscious on the ground. Seeing the assault on the S.I. and his staff some 30 to 40 Goalas came running from the Sabha were assaulted by the Babhans. The S.P. Divisional Inspector reached there and drew up the F.I.R. of the occurrance on the statement of S.I. [At this juncture a big mob of Babhans were again advancing to attack.] The S.D.O., Inspector and

the armed police moved out of the village towards the approaching mob and were joined by the S.P.

Then another occurence took place in which order for firing was to be given to disperse the mob. This forms the subject matter of another case which will be discussed separately.

The above discussed background was the brief subject matter of the case on which the judgement was delivered. Let us see the judgement.

47 persons were held accused in this rioting. For the prosecution 95 witnesses were examined by the Magistrate. Out of 47 accused 11 were discharged after cross examination as there was no sufficient evidence against them. 9

The Magistrate pronounced 36 accused guilty and sentenced them pay fine which amounted to Rs. 5000/- in total. The amount of fine varied from Rs. 80 to Rs. 550/-depending on the seriousness of the charge. The leaders of the riot were fined the maximum amount e.g. Prasidh Narayan Singh Rs. 550/- and Bissessar Singh Rs. 550/-. In default, it was ordered, to suffer 6 months of regorous imprisonment.

The Magistrate also ordered accused Bissessar Singh to execute a bond of Rs. 1000/- with two sureties of similar amount, accused Ambika Singh, Makunda Singh alias Kamo Baber, Rameshwar Singh and Parmeshwari Singh to execute bonds of 9. I have avoided the details of this cross-examination.

Rs. 500/- each with one surety each of similar amount, for keeping the peace during the period of one year from 1st February, 1925.

Let us examine the Second Case related to this incident which was also decided on 1st February 1926.

CASE NO. 2

The judgement of the Second Case was also delivered by M. Shamsul Huda, the First Class Magistrate, Monghyr on 1st February, 1926 involving Crown through I.S. Sachar versus Ambika Singh alias Medni Singh and 32 Others. 10

The Inspector of Police I.S. Sachar was the first informant in this case and he himself drew up the F.I.R. on his own statement. How he came to the place of occurrence and under what circumstances, have already been discussed in the background of the case no.1. Since this Case was the result of the same incident, as in the case the First one, the other details were the same which have already been outlined barring under what circumstances the police resorted to firing on the rioting mob of Babhans in their second reound of attack as the S.P. himself was assaulted this time. This needs a little bit of elaboration.

^{10.} File No.7 of 1926, Political Department (Special Section), Government of Bihar and Orissa, Bihar State Archives, Patna, pp. 35-48.

The S.P. and the S.D.O., first of all, tried to pacify the mob of Babhans which were in a very frenzied and frantic state of mind and were not in the mood to listen to what the S.P. and the S.D.O. told them or ordered them to do.

The situation then became very critical and there seemed no way preventing a conflict and riot between the Goalas and Babhans. The Goalas in the Sabha were behind the police and the mob were trying to surround the Goalas and Police by flank manoeuvre.

The S.D.O. then gave orders for firing. It was found that in all 114 rounds had been fired which shows the seriousness of this incidence. Many people were killed and seriously wounded from both the sides. However, the casualities on the part of Babhans were quite heavy.

The First Class Magistrate in his judgement held 33 persons guilty and sentenced them to pay fine which amounted Rs. 4100/- in total in default to suffer rigorous imprisonment for 6 months each except 6 wounded accused who would suffer rigorous imprisonment of 1 month each in default of payment of fine.

For the prosecution of these 33 accused, 109 witnesses were cross-examined. The Magistrate had also taken into consideration the fact that 24 accused were also the accused in the first case in which "S.I. Anisur Rahman and his staff and some Goalas were more or less severely assaulted, and that the Babhans suffered heavy casualities on account of the

firing." Therefore a severe and hard punishment is not called forth. The First Class Magistrate also took the following facts under consideration.

According to evidence- adduced the casualities on the side of the Babhans are three killed by gunshot or its effect viz. Dwarka Singh, Bhagwat Singh, and Manji Singh, six (Bharosa, Makhu, Misri, Palakdhari, Raghubir and Ramdhan) six injured and wounded by gunshot. These can not be the only casualities when it [would] be remembered that 114 rounds were fired from Henri Martini rifles of .476 bore from close range at the mob numbering 5000 to 6000. The mob when retiring were seen picking up and taking away their wounded.

Like the First Case in which some of the leaders were ordered to execute bond for keeping the peace. In this case too, the Magistrate ordered accused Madho Singh, Bharosa Singh, Makhu Singh, Misri Singh, Palakdhari Singh, Raghubir Singh and Ramdhan Singh to execute bond of Rs. 200/- each to keep the peace during the period of one year from 1st of February, 1925.

The Magistrate had also ordered to pay Rs. 500/- as compensation to the heirs of deceased Shibdiyal Gope out of the fine if realized from the accused. Shibdiyal Gope had come to attend the Goala Sabha at Lakho Chak was very badly injured in the conflict between the Goalas and Babhans and received gun shot wounds, as a result of which he died in Lakhisarai Hospital next day. The Court admitted that his death was caused by the offence of rioting committed by the Babhans, therefore, ordered payment of Rs. 500/- as

compensation.

In the end of the judgement, the Magistrate maintained that,

In view of the punishment which the Babhans received already merited by their own action and in consequence of which I have treated the accused most leniently I hope that the communal ill-feeling between Babhans and Goalas will subside and they will henceforth live in peace and amity learning a lesson from the very deplorable incidents and occurrence of 27 May 1925.

V

Thus on the basis of above cited reports as well as the particulars of the judgements, these two Cases linked with Lakho Chak riot of 1925, are substantially different from the High Court judgements of numerous cases already examined in Chapter Three of this dissertation. The High Court judgements explicitly maintained the Varna hierarchy by sidetracking the very merits of the Cases concerned. To justify this position the judges went extensively into the procedure of customary law/panchayat justice and natural law/natural justice.

But, contrary to this finding, in the case of Lakho Chak the First Class Magistrate obviously did not confine himself in the clutches of Varna hierarchy. He had tried his best to uphold the merits of the Cases concerned. As reflected in the background of these Cases, the intervention of police was due mainly to maintain the law and order as

there was fear of the breach of peace. But, if we discern what exactly led Babhans to go on offensive against the police as well as on the Goalas, we find that it was the Goala's consciousness to improve their caste status by adopting sacred thread and boycotting <u>Baith Begari</u> (customary presence to the Maliks on the occasion of festivals and marriages) and various kinds of exactions by the zamindars and upper caste people. These measures directly came into conflict with the vested interests of the zamindars and the upper caste people.

The judgements against the rioters / attackers (Babhans) and in favour of the attacked ones (Goalas) thus, prove that the Court in a way upheld the measures taken by the Goala Sabha in which caste customs predominated. However, one should keep in mind that no particular statement has been made in the judgements regarding the Goalas' right to wear sacred thread. Since the Goalas were on defensive, the police on the basis of Sanha lodged by Sital Das, by its own, logic, had to side with them. Since the Police and the Judiciary were part of the same State, the Court justified the police firing because they themselves had become a target of the Babhans. The State had also to defend its own position.

Moreover, for the Goalas, it was a victory of their cause. The compensation granted to Shibdiyal Gope, who died in this riot, by the Court also led them to feel that the

Court had justified their cause. An eyewitness expressed his views with great enthusiasm and pride that the Goalas were victorious in this riot. He said that "it led to the end of all sorts of exploitation by the zamindar and upper caste people and the Goalas started wearing the sacred thread." 11

This was not the end of the story. The following extract from the confidential diary of the Superintendent of Police, Monghyr, dated the 15th March 1926 states,

The feelings between Babhans and Goalas in Lakhisarai are still very strained. The latter held a meeting on 1.3.1926 and resolved that they would refrain from doing any menial work for the higher caste Hindus in furture, that they would universally adopt the sacred thread and that their females should no longer be allowed to sell milk or collect fuel.

It was forwarded to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Bihar and Orissa for information. It further mentions, "The lenient punishment meted out to the Babhans in the Lakho Chak (Lakhisarai) riot case does not appear to have eased the tension between the two communities.' This shows the pre-eminence of caste-customs from which the Lakho Chak riot had a resulted. This cannot be reduced only to a law and

^{11.} Personal interview with Bundi Prasad Yadav, Teacher, Primary School, Lakho Chak, March 1994. This point will be elaborated in Chapter Five.

^{12.} File No. 7 of 1926, Political Department (Special Section), Government of Bihar and Orissa, p.50.

^{13.} ibid.

order problem for the State. Therefore, the judgements of these cases are quite related to the caste-customs and are pointers to an attitude of the British that in social matters is necessarily very different from its expression in other contexts.

CHAPTER - 5

THE LAKHO CHAK RIOT OF 1925 : AS REFLECTED IN THE FOLK TRADITION

I

In 1925-26 a caste riot occurred at Lakho Chak in the district of Monghyr, Bihar. Although it broke out on the issue of 'sacred thread', a symbol of prestige, when the Goalas of this region claimed to have legitimate right to wear sacred thread, the real factors leading to this riot It arose, according to the multi-faceted. Lorik the socio-cultural (folk-song), against and economic hegemony of the Zamindars and the upper caste people. It is worth noting that in the Lorik (folk-song) an emphasis is given on cultural aspects as the causative factors of this riot alongside the socio-economic factors of the documentary This shows the crucial difference between the evidence. archival and oral sources as the cultural dimension of this phenomenon is highlighted more in the latter. This fact itself is reflective of how, in the "popular" theory of society, caste questions had at least as great a place as "economic" factors in the self-understanding of the people. That the British administration chose to intervene in the context of this riot to finally deliver a judgement sensitive to these aspirations of the Goalas highlights for us the determining role that cultural features had even on the making of the judicial assessment.

The Chanan region is comprised of 18 villages, one of them being Lakho Chak which was under the Zamindari of Prasidh Narayan Singh. He was the chief oppressor of this region. This is also borne out by the folk-songs e.g.

लाखोचक गोवरवा से जोतवाइ है हर लाखोचक गोवरियन भराइब घडा पानी²

[They (Zamindars) forced the Goala males to plough and the Goala women to draw water in pitchers.]

The Goalas of Lakho Chak had to render these customary services to their landlords. These sorts of customary services were the part of socio-economic oppression and therefore formed the basis of socio-economic reasons of this riot. But the cultural oppression by the zamindars who were mostly Babhans by caste, acted as crucial factors behind this. The womenfolk of the Goalas were the main target in this regard. A folk song points out how Prasidh Narayan Singh behaved with a newly married Goala woman. The story begins like this:

A newly married woman of the Goala community had come to Lakho Chak. When she went to draw water from a nearby well to fill her pitcher and bucket, Prasidh Narayan Singh eyed her and asked to draw some water to wash his hands and mouth. She refused, and told him that she was from the village of Sojid Ekaroan and her brothers were Zamindars

Personal interview with Bundi Prasad Yadav, Teacher,
 Primary School, Lakho Chak, March 1994. See
 Appendix - B, p. 118.

The transcribed version of the <u>lorik</u> folk-song), recorded in March 1994, see Appendix - A, p. 91. My translation is intended to convey the gist of the actual words of the original.

like him (Prasidh Narayan). This is important to note rural areas where such instances were rare woman resisted a Zamindar. Prasidh Narayan out of his anger with his shoes and whole body pitcher drenched. She took it as an insult and narrated this story to many Goala leaders such as Sital Das, Palat Das, Triloki Das etc. The version of the folk-song is given below:-

> नइकी तिरिया (स्त्री) लाखोचक गांवा सांभे जानी लगले भाईजी लाजोक द्वारे भारेला परतवा गइले इनरवा पानी घाट अरे घड़लवा अरे बाल्टी लेले हायवा में लगाई सिर पर लेले घडलवा भाई जी मारले कटले ज़मले हे इन्दरवा पानी घाटे अरे पनिया भरके कनइया भैंले तैयार अरे पड़ी गेलक बाधन प्रसिद्ध सिंह के कन्ड्या पर नजर अरे दिसवा कर मैदनवा अझ्ले इन्दरवा रखे घाट बोले ने लगले प्रसिद्ध नरावण लागल दे नवाब सनम नइके कन्या तनी वचन के हमार अरे इन्दरवा से पानीवा खींच तनी करेंचे बहार अरे इन्दरवा से पनिया भर के क़लिया करे कलाल कुलिया कलालवा रखे लोटवा के मिटवाई बाधना के बोलिया सनिके कन्या लागल दे जवाब अरे बोलल से बोलल बोलव न करे बात अरे हमर नैयहर सोनिद एकरॉव तोरा जइसन जमीदरवा हमार भइवाने पनिया भरके हम जड़बो अपने घर मकान दोला उपनिया देखो निप्पा तोरे लगाई लोटवा तही मटइहैं कृलिया करीह कलाल जैसे ही नइकी कन्या लेउले पैलवा मार्य चढाई मन-मन सोचे हैं प्रसिद्ध नारायण सोच हैं जवाब अरे राद्रवा के मन है बद्धीगेल इहै सब शुद्रवा जनेउआ पहनेले है तैयार ह्यारे हैं जिप्रन्दरिया में जनेरामा से पहनवाय

करले इन्द्रवा बाधन के गमज चर्झ गेल गोइवा के ज्या खोलिके हामें ले ले चढ़ाई मार है प्रसिद्ध नारायण ज्या घैलवा में ठुकराई ज्या के धमकवा से घइलवा होय चुर मिट्या के घइलवा पूटी के हो नारायण हो गइले बर्बाद माठो मंग बदनिया गिरकइन पनिया से भींगी गेले सोच है नईकी हो कन्या दिलवा में करे विचार देखत हैइन लोगिन सभी नजर से करे वर्णन बिना दगवा हमरा के दगवा देल के लगाय कहनर तिरिया चलल जाय है लाखोचक गाँव³

[In this we might note that "Prasidh Nayayan traced the cause of his insult to the undue rise in the morale of the Sudras. He kept thinking further that the same were ready to put on the sacred thread."]

This played a crucial role in the Goalas' mobilization against Prasidh Narayan Singh. Narrating her story "My protagonist said. father and brothers female ave Zamindars like Prasidh Narayan even then I never went out of my house and never drew water from the well for zamindars". claim made by the Goala lady of belonging to a zamindar family points to the burgeoning aspirations of some lower caste of the area.

The following set of rhymes conforms to this :

हमर नैइंहर पड़इंहे सोनिद एकरॉव तोहरे अइसन नमींदरवा हमार भड़्या-बाप तड़्यों ना हमें हाले बाबु घर से बाहर

The transcribed version of the lorik (folk-song), recorded in March 1994, See Appendix - A, pp. 95-96.

कोईना जमींदरवा के देनी भर के हम पानी 4

[My native village is Sojid Ekraon. My father and brothers are zamindars like you. Even then I never went out of my home to served water to zamindars.]

She told Palat Das that one day or the other the Goalas from different villages will stop marrying their girls at Lakho Chak because of this zamindari oppression of Babhans. It is here that the newly married women are subjected to all sorts of exploitation by zamindars and upper caste people. They are forced to draw water from the well for zamindars. From the very day a woman gets down from the marriage palanguin, she had to start sowing and harvesting of zamindars' land. She further told Palat Das, "It is because of my hard luck that I got married at Lakho Chak." To quote the version of folk-song:-

स्न-स्न बाब् महतो मैंनेजर लाखोचक के लोग कोई दिन बाब् लाखोचक होइते बियहवा शादिया बन्द तोहरे गाँव में बसो बाब् बामन जमींदार नइकी तिरिया से रातवा मर जमींदारवा मरबाब है पानी पालकी से उतरके करहई रोपना के रोपाई माग हमार जरलेकेई लाखोचक बिहाई 5

[Addressing Babu Mahato Manager and the people of Lakho Chak, she started saying that some day onwards in future Lakho Chak will face boycott regarding marital relations due to the existence of the Babhan zamindars in

The transcribed version of the <u>lorik</u> (folk-song), recorded in March 1994, See Appendix - A, p. 97.

The transcribed version of the <u>lorik</u> (folk-song), recorded in March 1994, See Appendix - A, p. 98.

the village who secure night-long service of drawing water from the newly married bride. She regrets her ill-luck saying that right since the day of getting down from the palanquin she has been engaged in planting saplings.]

III

These were the socio-cultural and economic factors that led Goalas to search for a new identity for themselves. The narration of events in the folk tradition quoted above is an embodiment of this ongoing urge. For the formation of this new identity, 'Sacred thread' became a symbol because lots of social prestige and values were attached to it. People wearing the sacred thread were taken as respectable and were exempt from lots of customary services hitherto rendered to zamindars and upper caste people. They would also take it to be very derogatory to work as daily labourers or even as hired ploughmen. Hetukar Jha rightly points out that it is here that the movement collides with the vested interests of the higher castes of Hindus.

To achieve this goal, the Goalas of Lakho Chak started a movement against all sorts of zamindari oppression. They started caste reforms through both models i.e. 'Sanskritization' and 'self purificatory' as outlined in detail in Chapter Four.

The <u>lorik</u> (folk-song) focuses extensively how the Goalas began to form a new identity. This consciouness is evident from the following verse:

प्रसिद्ध नारायण बाधना अरे समधन बात हमार

Hetukar Jha, op. cit., p. 554.

बड़ी दिन तोर इजितयां रख लियो मनमा न मानो हमार जवना दिन लाखोचक में करबे सभा दुनियां-दुनिया गोवरवा के छोड़ दैबे इहां लाखोचक में हम करबे सुधार मरे कवनो भगडवा लागी रहबो हम तैयार?

[Mr. Prasidh Narayan (Babhan) why don't you follow our words. We have been holding you high for long but now we are fed up. We (Goalas) will convene meeting at Lakho Chak, we will call Goalas from all over for major reform at Lakho Chak keeping ourselves well equipped to meet any type of collusion.

With this intention in wind, as the folk tradition narrates, they distributed letters to the Goalas of different areas. In the letters, it was written that 'everyone had to bring one lathi, one bundle of straw, one rupee and one Kg. rice with oneself. In this way the Goalas challenged the hegemony of the upper caste Hindus which aroused the anger of Babhans who were adamant that not a single goala would be allowed to wear the sacred thread.

Thus we find a series of exchanges of challenges and counter-challenges among both the communities in the folk-songs where they have used abusive language for each other. The following verse will substantiate this:

भेलयीन दोनों मैनेजरवा धर्मशलवा से बाहर पड़गले बामना प्रसिद्ध के बौद्ध पर नजर बोले लागल प्रसिद्ध त्रिलोकी दास के जबाब सून रे दास त्रिलाकी बचन के हमार मरदई के जनमल होबे अड़बे लाखोचक गाँव

The transcribed version of the <u>lorik</u> (folk-song), recorded in March 1994, See Appendix - A, pp. 94-95.

रंडी के जनमल हाइबे तो रहबे डेयोढ़िया में िएपल क्रिया दियो तलकवा रे मरदे दोहरी दिया धिककार जुटके गोवरवा चलम इहे लाख़ोचक गाँव एकोको गोवरवा रहिबे नाहि डेयोढिया गाँव लुकाई8

[As soon as both the Manager came out of the inn, they were seen by Mr. Prasidh, the Babhan. He started rebuking Triloki Das saying 'O' Triloki Das listen to me if you have any purity of descent only then will you return to Lakho Chak village. But if you have a polluted maternal ancestry then you will remain hidden inside the home.]

This challenge of the Babhans was equally retorted by Goalas leaders like Baudhu Khalifa and Triloki Das, the President of Goala Sabha. Baudhu Khalifa reacted in the following manner:

सुन दास त्रिलोकी मैंनेजर बात सम्म हमार तिन एक अब हमरा हुनुम न देई चलाई फिर ना बौद्ध लेलियन मरदे मह्या कोल अवतार दियो सरवा बामना के किटियाई घरनो होई जान गुरुमा के करम हो एक बाल मन-मन सोचे दास त्रिलोकी करेहे विचार सुनो बौद्ध खलीफवा माइजी बचन हो हमार जाति ऐ गोवरवा लेलके मह्या कोले अवतार धर्म हे जानत हुगा के करम हे अवतार लेबो अरे सरवा बामना के भगडा और लडाई?

I Listen ! Manager Triloki Das, follow my words ; do not let me be served orders even in name that are a challenge to the bonafides maternal line of my birth and the bloody Babhan would be taught a telling lesson by the

^{8.} The transcribed version of the <u>lorik</u> (folk-song), recorded in March 1994, See Appendix - A, pp. 99-100.

^{9.} ibid., p. 100.

grace of my Guru. At this Triloki Das was plunged into his own thoughts. He responded to Baudhu Khalifa by begging for his attention and declaring the Goalas in general to be an incarnation from their mother Durga. (emphasis added).

Thus, it is clear that the Goalas were prepared to fight with Babhans to achieve their goal i.e. a new identity which in turn, might enhance their social position.

Baudhu Khalifa further challenged the Zamindari of Prasidh Narayan and asked if he has the courage to come to Lakho Chak to stop the wearing of the sacred thread. Witness below the amalgamation of the symbol of zamindari and that of the religiously appropriated cultural significance of Durga by the Goala community.

बौद्ध् जलीपना प्रसिद्ध नारायण के बुलाय
बौद्ध् द हे जबाब सुन हो प्रसिद्ध नारायण बचन के हमारे
जुड़ी के हमें अहबो मरदे लाजोचक गाँव
जुड़ी के सब बामन अईहो लाजोचक गाँव
एको भृमिहार बामना रहबे िउपल डेयोदिया में
से त् बामना चढ़ई बहिनिया माय के सेज
किरिया देउ तलकवा रे मरदे दोहरी िधककार
नाइरा जमींदारिया लिखलह तोर बाप दादा
लिखल होते जमींदिरया रे महदे रहेब लाजोचक
तोड़ी देवो जमींदिरया रे मरदे जनेहुआ मलकाई
धर्म जाने मैया दुर्गा के करम हो एकबाल
मंगल के स्त्रे बुध दिन दियो जतरा के शुम दिन
बुध दिन चल अहहे मरदे लाजोचक गाँव
बुध दिन हमलेबो समा हो लगाय
देलियन जे जिलोकी मैनेजर वर्णन हो 100

^{10.} The transcribed version of the <u>orik</u> (folk-song), recorded in March 1994, See Appendix - A, pp. 100-101.

[Baudhu asserted that even zamindari was not their [the Babhans'] inheritance from their ancestry and if Lakho Chak was dostined to bear the zamindari, they would be dislodged through the adoption of the sacred thread, referring to and reposing his faith in Dharma and Mother Durga. At this, Triloki Manager specified the day of the Caste Sabha on the following Wednesday, that being more auspicious than the Tuesday, calling them (Babhans) on the same day to Lakho Chak.]

According to the above cited verse the day of this riot was Wednesday when the Goalas were to convene a caste sabha. It is remarkable to note that the Government Reports record this riot on 27th May 1925 i.e. Wednesday. This clearly indicates that even the transmitted memories from generation to generation are equally historical which might reinforce the hypothesis that some historical writings can be done by means of memories.

From this exchange of challenges by both the Babhans and the Goalas, they went on to mobilize their respective communities.

Further, according to the lore, Triloki Das, the president of the Goala Sabha wrote mobilising letters to far-flung areas of Goethapur, Sikandra, Ballabhpur Samastipur, Dagara Gaon, Dudi and to some parts of even south. This shows the wide spread consciouness among Goalas of Lakho Chak who were all set to eradicate socio-cultural and economic oppression and create a new identity for their community in the adjacent regions as well.

On the other hand, Babhans under the leadership of Prasidh Narayan Singh were also preparing to counter any type of Goalas' move to create a new identity. The following verse shows Prasidh Marayan's such preparation:-

देललक प्रसिद्ध नारायण हो बामना गाँवा में ढोलवा के पिटवाय अहो काल हो के दिना दास त्रिलोकी सजतऊं गोहार ओकरा से पहले हमे अपना ढलवा करब तैयार लूटवो लाखोचक और डेरवा देबे उखाड़ देखबे कैसे दास त्रिलोकी सामा लेक लगाया¹¹

[Prasidh Narayan gave a general call by beating drums in the villages with Babhans' domination inciting them thereby to take their collective defence against the elaborate preparations of Triloki Das to be made the next day. Then, the Babhans enmasse were to loot and ransack the Goalas belongings, thus arranging for a competent check against the possible Caste Sabha by Triloki Das.]

Considering the danger from the Babhans, the Goalas took some preventive measures. Triloki Das visited Monghyr to meet Sital Das and arrange for British military protection.

बाब् रातिलदास है ग्रंगेर के इंजलास हम हई आहो बाब् करहीय जतिया के सुधार काड़ा बन के बाभना करह भागड़ा के लड़ाई दो-चार दर्जन देते ग्रलेटी हमार संगवा लगाय ¹²

With this precaution the Goala Sabha was established on 27th May, 1925. Its chief objective was to distribute the sacred threads to adopt a new nomenclature i.e. "Yadav".

^{11.} The transcribed version of the <u>lorik</u> (folk-song), recorded in March 1994, See Appendix - A, p. 109.

^{12.} ibid., p. 105.

This change of nomenclature was due mainly to the fact that the Goalas were called 'Ahirs' and 'Bahirs' by the Babhans.

> अरे नाम धलको है अहिरा-बहिरा पड़ को नाम अहिरा-बहिरा नाम छोड़ैबो हम 'यादव' धरवो नाम¹³

[Ah ! they (Babhans) have named (us) 'Ahira' - 'Bahira', which we are vowed to cede and adopt 'Yadav', instead.]

The Babhans had prevented all Brahmans from performing the rites of the sacred thread for the Goalas. In the absence of the Brahman, Akkal Das who was a Goala became the purchit to perform the rite. 14

But once the sacred thread ceremony started, the Babhans from different localities such as Rampur, Mano, Ramchandrapur, Barahia, and Khuntaha etc. attacked the Goala Sabha and tried to disrupt it by force.

Since the police had already arrived at Lakho Chak to maintain peace, the Sub-Inspector tried to pacify the mob of Babhans who were armed with traditional weapons. They refused to listen to the S.I. and started throwing stones at the police force.

The violent mob of the Babhans attacked the Sub-Inspector who was seriously wounded. Now, not only the

The transcribed version of the <u>lorik</u> (folk-song), recorded in March 1994, See Appendix - A, p. 108.

^{14.} Personal interview with Bundi Prasad Yadav, teacher, primary school, Lakho Chak, March 1994, See Appendix - B, p. 119.

Goalas but the police as well became the target of Babhans.

The Police were forced to resort to firing in which many

Babhans were killed and several wounded.

देखलक दरोगवा नजर से वर्णन देलके सब मलेटी के हो हुकुम मारे अब जमींदरवा के गोलीया करे निशान ऐक गोलिया निशानवा मारे दस-बीस गो उलटाई जितना हले गोहरिया बामन के हों गेले बर्बाद लाखोचक में चले लगले खून के धार कुले कप्तानवा घुरे गले आगे जे कुछ बचले गोहरिया मीरवा गेदले जे लइनिया के हो पार¹⁵

ITaking an overall view of the situation, the S.I. ordered his armed ranks to open fire on the zamindar and Co. As a result ten to twenty casualities occurred dispersing the Babhans. This led to blood-shedding at Lakho Chak. After this, the military captain chased the rioters away.

According to the Government Reports discussed in Chapter Four, Shibdayal, a Goala, was killed in the riot by the Police firing who was compensated by the First Class Magistrate in his judgement. The lorik also mentions this and narrates the killing of Shibdayal by the police in confusion to recognise whether he was a Babhan or a Goala. This confusion was caused because Shibdayal had a vermilion mark on his forehead which was generally practised by the Considering him a Babhan the Police fired, killing Babhans. the spot. To goalas it was a ΩŊ sacrifice for the cause of Goala Sabha i.e. caste reform for identitu. For this description the

^{15.} The transcribed version of the <u>lorik</u> (folk-song), recorded in March 1994, See Appendix - A, p. 115.

version can be understood as given below :-

रगेद के गोहरिया के लहिनया के हो पार घुरले शिवदयाल भाइजी आविह गोहार देखले भड़वा हमार अब नजर से वर्णन सुनहो मीलिट्री बाबू वाभना घुरे गेले इतना जे बरियार बाभना दौड़ल आवे आगे कड़लके भाई मीलिट्री भाईजी गोलिया के तैयार सिनुरा के टीकवा हेले भाईजी घोखवा में परीगेले बाभना जानिके दीलके भाईजी गोलिया चलाई मरीके हले बाभना भाई जी गोवरवा मरीगेले मरी गेल शिवदयाल घरती में घोलटाय जित्या खातिर शिवदयाल तेजलक है पाण्16

[While returning after the chasing away of the Babhans across the rail-road, Shibdayal was confused with one of the fleeing Bhabhans ... [The firing] killing Sibdayal happened due to the utter confusion of Shibdayal bearing a vermilion mark on his forehead which gave him the look of a Babhan.]

IU

This fight resulted into two Lakho Chak riot cases which were decided by the 1st Class Magistrate, Monghyr on 1st of the February, 1926. 17 Though no particular statement has been made in the judgements regarding the legitimate right of Goalas to wear the sacred thread, one should keep in mind that these cases orginated because of the sacred thread. It was the sacred thread that led both communities to clash. The fines imposed on the Babhans and the compensation granted to the Goalas indirectly approve of the objectives of the latter which also led them to feel

^{16.} The transcribed version of the <u>lorik</u> (folk-song), recorded in March 1994, See Appendix-A, pp. 115-116.

victorious.

The question arises as to whether the fines imposed on the Babhans could be taken as approval by the magisterial authority of the objectives of the Goala Sabha. Or could it be because of the violent means resorted to combat the police force? Both the archival and the oral sources have different approaches to this question.

Since the Goalas, according to the Government Reports, were on defensive, therefore, the police had to side with them on the basis of the sanha lodged by Sital Das. 18 Since the police and the judiciary were the parts of the same State, the Court justified the police firing because the latter itself had become the target of the Babhans. The state had to defend its own position as well. Thus, as usually happens in an impending riot, the police had to check the breach of peace and maintain law and order.

In in answer to this question, Bundi Prasad Yadav, an eyewitness of this riot said that both the villages namely Rampur (Babhan dominated) and Lakho Chak (Goala dominated) were under the British rule. The British Government considered them as equal subjects. The Government maintained that both the Babhans and the Goalas had rights to wear the sacred thread. But the Babhans considered that the Goalas were under their Zamindari and there was no

^{17.} File No. 7 of 1926, Political Department (Special Section), Govt. of Bihar and Orissa, Bihar State Archives, Patna, pp. 24-28, discussed in detail in Chapter Four.

^{18.} ibid.

religious sanction for them to wear the sacred thread. 19

On the basis of Yadav's statements, it is quite clear that the British recognised Goalas at par with the three upper caste people having the same rights and privileges. It were the local zamindars who objected to these rights and privileges to the Goalas. Since the judgements of the First Class Magistrate were taken as a victory for the Goalas, Yadav, eventually said that all sorts of exploitation by the zamindars and upper caste people had ended and the Goalas started wearing the sacred thread. On This shows, how these two cases were intrinsically related to the socio-cultural scenario of that region. Thus the judgements of the First Class Magistrate which were qualitatively different from that of the High Court judgements of the earlier chapters were the expression of a different attitude toward such issues at the local level.

^{19.} Personal interview with Bundi Prasad Yadav, teacher, Primary School, Lakho Chak, March 1994. See Appendix-B, p. 120.

^{20.} ibid., p. 121.

CONCLUSION

THE POLITICS OF CONSENT

The British generated a restricted popular legitimacy through a variety of means, the judiciary being one of them, which in a way also reflected their paternalistic attitude as this came to evolve. Here one should be clear that their paternalistic attitude was different from public welfarism. This was the result of their consistent effort to know India, the Indian people and their complexes - inferiority as well as superiority, so that the same can be juxtaposed to get general consent.

In this regard, Halhead's Gentoo Law and Wilkin's Geeta were translations, thought to be 'Keys' with which to unlock and hence make available, knowledge of Indian law and religion held tightly by the Brahmins.

This information was needed to assess and collect taxes, maintain law and order and it served as a tool to identify and classify groups within Indian Society. Political strategies and tactics had to be created and codified into diplomacy through which the country powers could be converted into allied dependencies. The vast social world that was India had to be classfied, categorized and bounded before it could be hierarchized.

In Hastings' plan the theory was clear that India

should be governed by Indian principle particularly in relation to law. The practical question arose as to how the British were to gain knowledge of the ancient `usages and institutions'. The answer was easy enough to state. The Hindus, Hastings averred, 'had been in possession of which continued unchanged, from remotest antiquity.' These laws, he wrote were in the hands of the Brahmins, or `professors of law', found all over India, who were supported by 'public endowments and benefactions from every and all These professors received a degree of people. personal respect amounting almost to idolatory'. In each of the criminal courts established the Kazi, Mufti, and two Moulvis, were to expound the law, and to determine how far the deliquents shall be guilty of a breach thereof. In the civil courts,

[the suits] regarding inheritance, marriage, caste and other religious usages and institutions, the laws of the Koran with respect to Mohamedons, and those of the Shaster with respect to the Gentoos [would] be invariably adhered to.

India had an ancient constitution which was expressed into what came to be taught of as two codes, one Hindu and the other Muslim. Pundits were 'Professors', and some even came to be conceived of as 'Lawyers'. For the demonstration of law there were also experts 'Kazis', 'judges' who knew the appropriate codes to apply to particular cases. Following current practice in Bengal, which was a Muslim-ruled state, the British accepted Muslim criminal law as the Law of the

Land, but Civil Law was to be Hindu for Hindus and Muslim for Muslims. Hastings and the Council for Fort William's decision was to have profound effects on the further course of the judicial system in India.

Further, Sir William Jones, through his constant effort to translate ancient law codes, wanted a means by which 'the law of natives' could be preserved inviolate, and the decree of Courts made to conform to 'Hindu or Mohamedon Law' This was needed because they did not want to offend the prejudices of the natives in ignorance. To appear legitimate in the eyes of the Indians the British thought they had to demonstrate respect and interest in those Indians and institutions that were the carriers of traditions.

Thus, it is crystal clear that the British attitude was to rule or dominate Indian subcontinent taking Indian people into confidence. The overall aim of this whole process was geared towards generating popular legitimacy; to achieve this often inconsistent and self-conflicting aim they had to enter into the minds of the people preserving what these people believed as their `tradition'. At the same time, they were convinced from the very outset that so long as, they wanted to rule India, they should not disrupt the traditional belief-system. It was this consideration that Was predominant or uppermost in judicial mentality when the

The background of this explanation has been taken from B.S. Cohn, 'Command of Language and the Language of Command' in R. Guha (ed.) <u>Subaltern Studies</u>, Vol. IV, 1985, pp. 283-94.

judges delivered the judgements except in magisterial Courts, to some extent, as at the local level exigencies of an altogether different and pressing sort came to prevail. This is evident for example from the judgements of two Lakho Chak riot cases of 1925-26. To maintain this attitude of mind they had to go into the minutest details of the natural law/natural justice and Panchayat law/Panchayat justice. If this was not done they would have had to take some stand which would have from time to time gone against the traditional belief system itself and against the natural justice theory thereby creating unnecessary problems. It is hoped that my dissertation has demonstrated how the judicial process was related to the British policy making in India.

APPENDIX - A

THE TRANSCRIBED VERSION OF THE LORIK (FOLK-SONG) RECORDED IN MARCH 1994 FROM LAKHO CHAK

[1]

अहो लागल हार्य पिसन्वरवा बिउलवा के हो टीशन - अहो उतरल राजा त्रिलोकी हो बाबु किउलवा केरारे टीशन वौद्ध संगें-संगें डेगे-डेगे दोनों मैनेजरवा धर्मशाला निगे पास बैंडठल रहलई उहावां बाधना प्रसिद्ध सिंह धर्मशालावा के भीतर उहवे दोनों प्रैनेजरवा जाके भेलठिन खाड करोहयी राजा त्रिलोकी बाबु बनगी केरे प्रणाम तिभवो ना बाभना प्रसिद्ध सिंह मुडिया के हो उठाइ सन हो राजा त्रिलोकी मैनेजर बचन केरे हमार बनगी न करे सलियवा रे मरदे इनियां है इनियां गोवरवा के मेठ भइले सरदार भड़ररा में मरले सोभना के मनबद्भगा होइ गेल भड़ररा में ही घर शोधना के जात के राजपुत लाखोचक गोवरवा से जोतवाइ हे हर लाखोचक गोवरिवन भराइब घडा पानी गोवरवा के जितवा मरदे की करें एतवार नाहि करो दास त्रिलोकी बात के विश्वास ऐसे ने जो बाधना प्रसिद्ध सिंह देहई जवाब मरदे लाखोचक में सम्भवा लियो लगाय से हो से गवारवा चमई महरवा केरा सेच हो

[2]

महो बोलिया हो सुनके बौद्ध देहैं जवाब

अहो सुनह राजा त्रिलोकी हो मैनजर बात हमार काहे के तु प्रसिद्ध नरावण सिंह के बनगी-केलह सलाम वेह जितवा बामना होई कृतवा के समान जेने-जेने कृतवा चला होउ करहें लड़ाई जेने-जेने बमना जितवा होईहे अपने में करहे लड़ाई वेहो हो जितवा होवई कृतवा के समान सुनहइं जे प्रसिद्ध नरावण देवे हैं जवाब सुनेह बौद्ध खलीफा बचन के हमार तोरानिवन हमार मितजवा है बिरवार सातो मैंसिया दृही के पीलइ गाले दूध बल के बरिवार मनके दोरिक (द्वारिका) हमार दो चार हजार गोवरवा ले लेलके दबावे वे बौद्ध खलीफा फरही के लाल

[3]

सन हो दास त्रिलोकी हो बाब बचन के हमार तनझ्यों के हमरा हुकुमा राजा दे हो के हमार इहे सरवा बाध्न के ऐनिगा यात्रा लिए बनाई बौद्ध के बोलिया सनिके दास त्रिलोकी दीहे जवाब सुनो-सुनो बौद्ध खलीफावा भाई समभवौ करही बात पयरैइ-पयरैइ कमवा हो शैतनवा केरा काम सस्तई कमवा होउ हो बौद्ध शैतनवा बनई काम करोयिन दोनो पैनेजरवा ओजगिन दोनों गाले बात धर्मो जानब मह्या दुर्गा के कर्मो भएव एग बाल सतक्ष्म में होइल महवा लोरिक पर सहाव कलवग में होबई मैया दर्गा हमरे पे सहाव बाये तु समर में बैटा दहिनेई रहउ तैयार बोले हैं अक्किल दासा त्रिलोकी मैनेजर लगले दे ही जवाब भीरीय से बसहई गोनर मैनजरवा कवईया के गाँव चलई-चलई दोनो प्रैनेजरवा कवईया के हो गाँव **क्हलई गोनर मैनेजरवा तानि हाल के हो दस्तान** जइसन देविन सलहवा हो बोद्ध ओइसन करीह काम हो

बोनई दास त्रिलोकी बाबू देबहे जवाब सनो हो राजा त्रिलोकी बाबु बचन तु हमार अरे उठई डेरवा चलैई कवईया केरा गाँव अरे काहे लो तो भूमिहरवा से करहै भगड़ा-लड़ाई बोले हैं बामना प्रसिद्ध दे हैं बौद्ध खलीफा के जवाब अरे सनो रे बौद्ध खलीफा मरदे वचन त हमार अखिया-अखिया के देखलक बस के इमान अरे गोवरवा के जतिया ना कुछ करिह विश्वास जेह गोवरवा लेहो लाखोचक सध्यवा जे लगाइ सेह गवरवा चढोहो बहुआ के सेज अरे स्नेरे बाधना प्रसिद्ध धिचोदवा सुनरे प्रसिद्ध वचन हमार सनरे बाधना प्रसिद्ध नरायण बचन हमार प्ररदा सब चलअइहे लाखोचक गाँव दनिवे-दनिवे बचनवा के तो चिठिया देहरिका जेह-जेह बाधना जड़को लाखोचक गाँव होहरे बाधना धिचोद रामपुर के गाँवा होहरे बभना गेरआर के समान

[4]

शिवडीह में दे हर्लाई गईवा के गोरस
गहवा ले चरवे अर्लाई हे मंडार बहिवारा
ओिन्मो शोमना हलरे माई जी मोरिवा के अगोरवा
स्निल्ले उदआ गोवरवा वचन के हमारे
ललका तोर जनऊआ धरिय कानओ पर चढ़ाय
जो जे गईवा मीरिया हमार खबतऊ जाके आबी
तोड़ी के तारे जनेऊवा सरवा गांड़ी में देव घुसाय
ऐसने शोमना देलके हव जवाब
ऐसने शोमना देलके हव जवाब
ऐसना नालिश दाह कलके ब्रंदी के निये पास
स्नि-स्न मंड्वा हमार जितवा हो कुटुम्ब
महतो बनी जनेऊवा देल देह में हमार
गहवा के चरऊवे गहल हे मंडर बहिवारा
तोड़ के हम जनेऊवा शोमना कहई गांड़ी में देव धुसाय

बोली हो बाबू बूंदी दास दे हुई ताहू के जवाब सनो हो ताह महतो तनी वचन के हमार मंगलदिन में होतवी खरा पुंची-पंचावती ओही दिन में नेही अइहें होतो समवा में इंसाफ हमरे हलई मगह के वादव हमर भईवा अपने मऊगी छोडी के दूसरा के मऊगी लैलकै घरवा जानी गलई इलाकवा मंगलदिन कर देलैई पंचायत दोनों महवा शोधना अलई खडकुड्या के पंचायत हमर महवा मऊगी छोडिके कलकै है विमाह ओकरा गलती ऐहे भाई बनैइकै जाके आबी दंड ले लै जुर्मानावा लेलकै हंयवा के लेलेके मार एकें जो लक्ष्मीन लगवे चारे गिरले जाके चिता शोभना गर्ऊसा पासना जाके करहें माताराम अरे गोवरवा के हम देखहरो दादा अइसन पंचायती भले जो ताई (दऊवा) नालिशवा न करतो काहिन में गिरी जायब हो मरतै आवै डोम सोलवा हो दागे दे पसीनवा से लेनामैं ओ हो "

[5]

बाब् शोधनसिंह के मह्वा के देह जवाब महो सुन हो भह्वा गंगादास वचन हो हमार गोवरवा के गलती भेल हैं मारिके देलके घोलटाई जो-जो हमर गलती दादा हो ये जाके आबी गंगनी के गवारवा मारिके देतों घोलटाई भाग जल्दी आहहो दादा जान लैई के पराय पेशाब के बहानवा महो समवा से ऊठी गेलैई ओ भुठे करे पेशाबवा लेके बैठने गेले मागे बैठ के उठते भगते जान लेग पराय मरे गाँव के हले शिव सिंह के दाउरे सिंह यादव मब यादव देखलके करनाय हकबा मब पंचाइतिया में शोधन सिंह भागल जाहें दौड़ले सबे पंचे लोजेले जाके माबे गित्तिगेले शोधन सिंह जोड़ा कान्हवा के किनारे बजारि के अब गडियों में लिठिया करके मारि देलैं अरे ओकरे होले क्रोधवा प्रसिद्ध करैंहैं क्रोध ओहे बामना परसिद्ध (प्रसिद्ध) कहलके हे भगड़ा जे लड़ाई ओ हो

E61

प्रसिद्ध नरावण बाधना और समधन बात हमार बडी दिन तोरा इनतियां रख लियो प्रमाग न प्रानी हमार जनौ दिन लाखोचक में करहे सभा रे दुनियां-दुनियां गोवरवा छोड़ दैबे लियो रे प्रसिद्ध लाखोचक में करबे सुधार अरे गोले बाधना भगडवा रहबो रे हमें तैयार बौद्ध के बोलिया सुनिके रे प्रसिद्ध लगले दिहे जवाब सनहह बौद्ध खलीपवा हो गरदे बचन हमार लाखोचक गाँवा हो बौद्ध हमार पड़े जमींदार सनहो गोवरवा करिहे जाति सधार नइकी तिरिवा (स्त्री) लाखोचक गांवा सांभे जानी लगले भाईजी लाजोक द्वारे भारेला परतवा गइलै इनरवा पानी घाट अरे घइलवा अरे बाल्टी लेले हायवा में लगाई सिर पर लेले घइलवा भाई जी मारले कुटले ज़मले हे इन्दरवा पानी घाटे अरे पनिया भरके कनइया भैले तैयार अरे पड़ी गेलक बाधन प्रसिद्ध सिंह के कनइया पर नजर अरे दिसवा कर मैदनवा अइले इन्दरवा रखे घाट बोले जे लगले प्रसिद्ध नरायण लागल दे जवाब सनम नड़के कन्या तनी वचन के हमार अरे इन्दरवा से पानीया खींच तनी करेंचे बहार अरे इन्दरवा से पनिया भर के कुलिया करे कलाल कुलिया कलालवा रखे लोटवा के मटियाई

बाधना के बोलिया सुनिके कन्या लागल दे जवाब अरे बोलल से बोलल बोलव न करे बात अरे हमर नैवहर सोजिद एकराँव तोरा जइसन जमीदरवा हमार भइवाजे पनिया भरके हम जड़बो अपने घर मकान दोला उपनिया देवो जिम्मा तोरे लगाई लोटवा तही मटइहैं कुलिया करीह कलाल जैसे ही नइकी कन्या लेउले घैलवा माये चढाई मन-मन सोचे हैं प्रसिद्ध नारायण सोच है जवाब अरे राइवा के मन हैं बद्धीगेल इहै सब राद्रवा जनेउआ पहनेले है तैयार हमरे हैं जिमन्दरिया में जनेउआ से पहनवाय करले क्रद्धवा बाधन के गमन चढई गेल गोडवा के जुतवा खोलिके हाये ले ले चढाई मार है प्रसिद्ध नारायण जुतवा घैलवा में ठुकराई जुतवा के धमकवा से घइलवा होत चुर मंदिवा के घइलवा फूटी के हो नारावण हो गइले बर्बाद आठो अंग बदनिया गिरकइन पनिया से भींगी गेले

[7]

सोच है नईकी हो कन्या दिलवा में करे विचार देखत हैइन लोगिन सभी नजर से करें वर्णन बिना दगवा हमरा के दगवा देल के लगाय कहनर तिरिया चलल जाय है लाखोचक गाँव पड़ गेइल भैंस राहनरंग के तिरिया पर नजर बोलई क्ले गोरिष्ट्रना तिरिया के जबाब सुनहो नइकी तिरिया बचन के हमार काहेला प्रसिद्ध नारायण कहलको चौलिया पर भमार भरल कलसे पनिया तोरा हौरी कहलको बर्बाद कह नइकी तिरिया तनी हाल के दस्तान नइकी कन्या लगले दे हैं जवाब दिसवा कर मैदनवा प्रसिद्ध ग्रहले पानीघाट हमरा और कहलेके बाबू हाल के दस्तान

एक बाल्टी पनिया खींची के तिरिया देई लगाय एक बाल्टी पनिया खींची के करे इनरवा से बाहर लोटवा के मंदियांचे रखे कृलिया के कलाल हमे और ओकहा रह लिये बाबू हाल के हो दस्तान कहलन न मान ले बात हमर नइहर पड़ड्हें सोजिद एकरावों तोहरे अइसन जमींदरवा हमार मझ्या - बाप तझ्यो न हमें होले बाबू घर से बहरा कोईना जमींदरवा के देनी मर के हम पानी मरीके गगरिया बाबू घरिलेले डगर ढ़ोलवा उपनिया जिम्मवा के लगाय कृलिया करले कललवा हो बाबू लोटा मंदियाई दोलवा उपनिया हो बाबू इनरवा छोड़ी

[8]

अहो महतो मनैजर बतई तनी हाल बिलकल बतिया रहने हमे हाल इ दस्तान अरे बोल गोवारवा दिहे जवाब सन के भाई जी मर्दे तिरिया बाब बचन के हमार अरे गउवां के महतो मनेजर हर पलट के बोल चलल जाई है नइकी तिरिया मर्दे भाई लाखोचक गाँव करल कुरेले जुल्म तिरिया जायके लाखोचक मकान जुगडेलै नइकी तिरिया महतो मनैजरवा के जुगडलै नइकी तिरिया महतो के घर मकान दुअरवा चढके नइकी तिरिया करहे बयान स्न-स्न मैनेजर महतो लाखोचक के लोग तिरिया के बोलिया सुनिके पलट होइलघर से बाहर पड़गेलैंड दास पलट के जब कनिया पर नजर बोल लागल मैनेजर महतो तिरिया देह सन-सन नइकी तिरिया बाबु सम्मभ बात हमार सौंभ लगइलै लाखोचक गर्वा में द्वार होइखे विहनवा पडले दुखवा के विपत्ति काहेके खातिर बाब तह, करला दोहरी अहंकार

कहबो करहई नइकी तिरिया लागहई जवाब

सून-सून बाब् महतो मैंनेजर लाखोचक के लोग

कोई दिन बाब् लाखोचक हाइते बिचहवा शादियों बन्द
तोहरे गांवा में बसो बाब् बामन जमींदार

नइकी तिरिया रतबा मर जमींदरवा मरबाब है पानी

पालकी से उतरके करहई रोपना के रोपाई

माग हमार जरलकैई लाखोचक बिहाई

पनिया नई मरलई हम इनरवा पानी के घाट
गोडवा के जुतवा घइलवा में ठुकराय

घइलवा हमार फ्टलई बाब् मिंजलई आठो अंग शरीर
बिल्कुल बतिया कहनई सोहिद एकराव के नगर
बिल्कुल बतिया कहनई लाखोचक नगर

अगिया जे लगइबो हो बाब् लाखोचक गाँव

[9]

बिल्कल बतिया नइकी तिरिया हाल रही दस्तान सनही पलट दास लड़के नड़की कनिया करे बात सुनई नइकी कनिया होई बचन के हमार अरे घरिके चलिना नइकी कनिया घर के मकान र्चेई दिन सामा लगते वहें बतिवा ओह दिन दिह फैलाव अहे बैठ नड़की बन्या घर में असियर अहे मन-मन में सोचे पलट दास दिल में कर विचार हायमे महया दुर्मा जलम के बितल बात बडी-बडी प्रसिद्ध नरावण बाधना करहि अन्याव कई दिन बाधन पंडित लेते इज्जत के धरम **अइसने बोलिलै दास त्रिलोकी हाले में करे उपाय** मन-मन सोचि के पलटदास दिल करे डोवे पाँच देखई मैंवा दुर्गा नजर से वर्णन जरवनी मैया दुर्गा उदबोध के चढाई भेलखीन पलटदास जारे घर बाहर भेलखीन पलटदास कनिया केरे गाँव

पलट मैंनेजरवा चललई कनिया के रे गाँव गरलई, कुटलई चुटलई किउलिया के किनारे चलइलै नहीं किउलिया में दुई कोराधार भुषल और पिवासल मैनेजर गेलई आजमाव करे लागल लखन दास पलट मनवा दिल विचार ग्रज में करई दतबनिया किउलवा की ते नदी जिभिया फांड के फेकलयी नदिया खोली के धलधीन करतवा बलका पे उडियाई हेलगेलियन दास पलट निदया के बीचई भार कलिया कलियन कललवा मैनेजर और स्नान स्ननवा केलियन सरच लाल प्रणाम करके प्रणीमया भेलिखन नदिया के बाहर सुखल भइल कपडवा लेलियन ओड्ना के बदल भीजल घोतिया कपडवा देलपीन नदिया किनारे पसार बैठ गैलियन पलट निदया किउलिया केर बाल एक मुट्ठी सतुमा सानी करे जलके हो जलपान खलिक पिलियन मैनेजर ओहिजा होगेलियन तैयार नदिया ने हेलिके ग्रेनेनर पनिया ने पिलियन है बीच धार हो

[11]

स्नह् बौद्ध् जलीफा वचन हो हमार चलल-चलल हो बौद्ध जलीफवा जबई किनया केरे गाँव हियन गोनर मैनेजरवा बसे किनया केरे गाँव बुम्मबई जनी गोनर मैनेजरवा से हाल और सलाम जइसन देयी सलहवा औइसन करबे काम भेलवीन दोनों मैनेजरवा धर्मशलवा से बाहर पडगले बमना प्रसिद्ध के बौद्ध् पर नजर बोले लागल प्रसिद्ध जिलोकी दास के जवाब स्न रे दास जिलोकी वचन के हमार मरदई के जनमल होइबे तो रहबे डेगोदिया में िउपल (ल्काई) क्रिया दियो तलाकवा रे मरदे दोहरी दियो धिक्कार जुटके गवरवा चलामइहे लाखोचक गाँव एकोगो गवरवा रहिबे नाहि डेयोदिया गाँव लुकाई सेह जे गोवरवा देहई क्रिया जेऊ धिक्कार

[12]

सुन दास त्रिलोकी मैंनेजर बात सम्म हमार तिन एक अब हमरा हुकुम न देई चलाई फिरना बौद्ध लेलियन मरदे भइया कोल अवतार दियो सरवा बामना के किरियाई धरनो होई जान गुरुआ के करम हो एकबाल मन-मन सोचे दास त्रिलोकी लागल हे विचार सुनो बौद्ध खलीफवा भाईजी वचन हो हमार जाति ऐ गोवरवा लेलके मह्या कोले अवतार धर्म हे जानत दुर्गा के करम हे अवतार लेबो अरे सरवा बामना से भगडा और लडाई

[13]

बौद्ध् क्लीफवा प्रसिद्ध नारायण के बुलाय बौद्ध् दे हे जवाब सुन हो प्रसिद्ध नारायण बचन के हमार जुड़ी के हमें अड़बो मरदे लाकोचक गाँव जुड़ी के सब बामन अईहो लाकोचक गाँव एको भूमिहार बामना रहबे िउपल डेयोदिया में से त् बामना चढ़ई बहनिया माय के सेज किरिया देउ तलकवा रे मरदे दोहरी िपककार नाइरा जमींदारिया लिखलह तोर बाप दादा लिखल होते जमींदिरया रे महदे रहेब लाकोचक तोड़ी देवो जमींदिरया रे मरदे जनेहुआ मलकाई धर्म जाने मैया दुर्गा के करम हो एकबाल बोले लागल प्रसिद्ध नारायण देब है जवाब मंगल के सबे बुध दिन दियो जतरा के शुम दिन बुध दिन चल अइहे मरदे लाकोचक गाँव

बुध दिन हमलेबो सभा हो लगाय देलिखन जे ज़िलोकी प्रैनेजर वर्णन हो

[14]

लेलियन दोनों मैनेजरवा हो भाई जी कवइया के रस्तवा चलल जाहे दोनों मैंनेजरवा कवझ्या केरा गाँव ज़मले दास त्रिलोकी गोनर मैनेजरवा के मकान इअरे चढिके जिलोकी देलयी हाँक होबतनी गोनर प्रेनेजरवा घर से बाहर दास त्रिलोकी के बोलिया सुनके गोनर घर से होवई बाहर पड़मेले गोनर मैनेजरवा के त्रिलोकी पर नजर करितन दोनों मैनेजरवा ओनेगिन प्रणाम बोलहियन गोनर मैनेजरवा हाल के दस्तान सन दास त्रिलोकी बचन के हमार तिन हमरा बहा मंगेर के हालवाल बात के सुनलगी त्रिलोकी देह जबाब एक आग बुमैनियो दोसर उठगैलो अंधकार देलको प्रसिद्ध नारायण हमरा देल की जबाब सन दास त्रिलोकी प्रैनेनर बचन के हमार कर हैई सलमिया गरदे रचेहैं भवार दुनिइये-दुनिइये ग्वारवा के मेंठ भइले सरदार घर में मरले शोधना के मनबड़आ होझोल, जाति के राजपुत सेकरा मार के दास त्रिलोकी मन तोर बढ़ी गेईल मरदा होई तो लाखोचक में समदा लिए लगाई गरदा के जनमल होईबे अइवे लाखोचक गाँव रंडी के जन्मल होइवे तो रहमें घर में फिपल किरिया देव तलकवा रे मरदे दिवो धिक्कार ऐस्नके बतिया होलो जब किउल के धर्मशाला मंगल केई रूखे बुध दिन जतरवा लियो बनाई मन में सोचले जलनी दिल में करै विचार मन-मन सोचई गोनर महतो लगसेई देव विचार सुन दास त्रिलोकी मैनेजर सम्भ बात हमार नहीं तो डेरैइट मैंनेजर नहीं त डेराय

बड़ी गोवरवा लेलके हमरा दुनिया में अवतार चे हे मनमा एकर प्रसिद्ध के ओकर मनमा ए हो

[15]

बैठ-बैठ दास त्रिलोकी चौकी पे मजबूत गुख में कर दत्तवनिया गुठी भर भोजनवा मैनेजर कर ला जलपान बैठलन जब मैनेजरवा तीनों चौकी पर समृतुल्य करवे तीनों मैनेजरवा भगडा के विचार दोलवा और नगहनियाँ ले ली हाय में लगाय चले जाहेई तीनों मैनेजरवा इनरवा के हो राजघाट करहयी तीनों मैनेजरवा दिली-दिली दतवन कलियन दतवनियां कलिया और कलाल करहियन तीनों मैंनेजरवा इन्दरवा पर स्नान करीईके असननिया होगेले तीनों तैयार करीईके तीनों मैनेजरवा गोनर डैयोद्धी के मकान भिन्जल धोतिया दे ले छप्पर पर प्रसार दहिया-चडवा तीनों कोई चौकी पर परोस करहिषन तीनों प्रैनेजरवा ओइजा इच्छा भर भोजन, कइली अवइलै करहिषन तीनों पैनेजरवा ओजे जीत और गाल बात सन हो गोनु मैनेजरवा तनि बचन हो हमार जाइसन देह हुक्या ओइसन करहीन आपन काम बोलहरिक्न गोनर मैनेजरवा त्रिलोकी दे है जबाब सन दास त्रिलोकी मैनेजर बचन हो हमार दुनियां-दुनियां भाईओ को चिट्ठिया दे है पढ़ाई (कैसेट नं. 1) दुनिया भर के जितया ज़टलो लाखोचक के गाँव जाइके इंतजाम करही लाखोचक में दलिया चौरा पतल के करिहर्ड इन्तजाम दो चार सौ मन दूधवा के कर दीहे इंतजाम दुधवा दहिया के करही इन्तजाम बोलहिपन गोनर मैनेजरवा दास त्रिलोकी के बुलाई प्रयमेन लाखोचक में मडवा दीह गडाई दलिया चोखा पतला के हमरा पर अलौ भार दिह्या दुधवा जितना होते कर दीवो इंतजाम

फितस मौना दहिया दूधवा के चलके घर-घर देले खबर बिल्कल दहिया दुधवा प्रगवालेले लाखोचक गाँव ना हो दास त्रिलोकी करिहे मन में छौ-पाँच धर्म जान मैवा दुर्गा करम भाग्य एकबाल दनियां-दनियां भइया के ना होते तकलीफ जरा त दीह लाखोचक में सभवा के लगाई करहिंदन तीनों मैनेजरवा जन ऐसने-ऐसने विचार जहिमन तनी गोनर मैनेजरवा अपन बिलौरी गाँव भेलपी तीनों भैनेजरवा कड़वा के केरे बहार घुमत-फिरत तीनों मैनेजरवा गेले लखीसराव बजार जितने दुध खपरवा मिले जाहे डगर लेले जाहे बौद्ध जलीफा कहले समाचार जितना दहिया दूधवा होते एक साफ घर में बिल्कल दहिया दुधवा गाँव लाखोचक पहुंचाई जेकरा हम देखलो मरदै घर में फिपल जेकरा के हम देखबो लखीसराय में कोडवा से मरबो मार कोडवा के मारबो कर देवो जितवा से बाहर **बहले जाह दोनों मैनेजरवा चलल जाहि दोनों डगर** चलल जाहि बौद्ध खलीपवा भाईजी संगे-संगे मरले कुटले जुमले बेलौरी केरा गांव बैठइल हलइ गुरुआ महादेव भाईजी स्कूलवा के द्वार पर गेले गुरुमा गुस्देव जाके भागे वो तैयार स्न-स्न दासा त्रिलोकी हो मैनेजर पुरबवा देखी सुखल-सुखल मन बडा भारा कियो तोरे दासा त्रिलोकी पडझोले बिपत **क्ह दास जिलोकी मैनेनर हाल के हो दास्तान** गुस्देव के बोलिया सुनके प्रैनेजरवा लागल देव जवाब सुन-सुन गुरुआ तिन बचन के हमार करहिपन दासा त्रिलोकी मैनेजर गीत और गलबात जब तक डाक मंसिया भेलय अगुआ हो जिक ठाड लिपल चिटठिया डाक ग्रंसिया हयना में दे है भराव जिनकर नाम हिठादास त्रिलोकी दसनाम उनके नामे चिट्ठिया देलक है भेजवाय

देलियन दास त्रिलोकी जखनिन चिठिया हाय लगाय पढ़े लगलई चिठिया हो गैनेजर मनवा में

[16]

लोलके चिठिया दास त्रिलोकी के जिम्मा जब दलके लगाय
महो बोल हैं बौद्ध् ललीफा देहद् मैनजरवा के जबाब
महो सुनो हो बाब् त्रिलोकी बचन के हमार
कैसन चिठिया मिललैंइ बाब् हाल करई दस्तान
सुनहोई बोद्ध् खलीफवा बचन हो हमार
मरे रड़हा रामपुर प्रसिद्ध सिंह चिट्ठिया देले भेजाय
हमरे तोरे नाम से बामना चिटठिया देलो भेजाय
सले न गोवरवा लड़के घर में रहइ फिपाय
जइसन हक्मवा दे हो हमें चिट्ठिया देले भेजाय
दिनवा और ठेकनवां देहो बैठाय
दिनमा और ठेकनवां देहो बैठाय
दिनमा और ठेकनवां में समवा के रे दिन
जइसने बामना देलको चिट्ठिया लिख भेजाई
जेहइन गोवरवा लाखोचक में समा ले लगाय
ओह गोवरवा सरवा चढ़ाह मरे बहुमा केरे सेज ।

[17]

दिनवा और ठेकनवा चिठिया मरदे तु समभाई
अपना आप एक तरफवा से लिखके दे हो भेजाय
सुनो दे बाभना प्रसिद्ध िपचोद वचन के हमार
अरे मंगल दिन में लाखोचक सभा करम तैयार
अरे मंगल दिन में लाखोचक में मडवा कर तैयार
बाभना प्रसिद्ध के घरमा में कलसक मडबा के तैयार
मंगले के स्खे बुद्धे दिने लगवई जब बरात
बुद्धे वे दिने में बेटियो ओकरा शदियो होत बिहाय
बुधे के दिन प्रसिद्ध बेटिया के शदिया होत बियाह
अहे जेहो नैया बाभना आवे रामपुर केरा गाँव
ओहो बाभना किरिया रे सरवा जोरुमा के करा हो

लिख के चिटिठिया दास त्रिलोकी बाबू देलिन लगाय लेले के डाक मुंशिया भैया जिम्मा में लगाय डाक मंसिया ले ले हाय में लगाय चलहले डाक मसिया डगर न घन्टे-बाँध करहई दोनों मैंनेजरवा अब जोगिन गाले बात एगो चिटठिया लिखके देहो लाखोचक भेजाई लाखोचक के खाको महतो गाँव के जमींदार ओकरा नाम से चिठियों बाबु लिखके दे हैं भेजाई दस बीस लकडिया पतल कर समत्रल्य दस बीस मन दूधवा के करम इन्तजाम दस बीस मन में चुरवा करियन इन्तजाम मंगल दिन हमरा लाखोचक में मलवा तैयार इनिया-इनिया भइका के चिट्ठिया देवो भेजाय एकगो बनन हमार अरिवा हिपन पुकार भर्मजाने भैवा दुर्गा जी के कमों जावे एकबाल एगो चिट्ठिया लिखो देके मुगेर के भेजाव बाबू शीतलदास है ग्रंगेर के इनलास हम हई महो बाबू करहीय जितवा के सधार काड़ा बन के बाधना करह भगड़ा के लड़ाई दो चार दर्जन देते प्रलेटी ह्यार संगवा लगाव

[19]

दो चार दर्जन मिलीटी अपन कर द इंतजाम बडियार शीतलदास पटइले हैं मुंगेर के इजलास अरे गोरप्तपुर में गोयठा भैया बढ़ पहलवान अरे शोले से पलीफवा के खेलावे हैं सराना किरिया दे दे चिट्ठिया लिखइले मसीहाना अरे हेजुन भइया गोनरवा लाखोचक गाँव सेह चढ़दते सरवा बहुआ के रे सेज ऐसने बामना प्रसिद्ध देलके किरिया हो तलाक अरे एगो चिट्ठिया लिखके गाँव -गाँव संदेशवा दे हे भेजवाई अरे बसे शिवदयालवा बल के बलियारा अरे शोले से गोहत्या लेते बहियारी अरे एगो चिट्ठिया लिखके दे खडगपुर भेजवाई अरे दुनिया-दुनिया भइयों जुटा लाखोचक गाँव किरिया दे दे चिट्ठिया हो तु लिखिइह

[20]

दूसर चिठिया लिखके देहलन गोइठापुर में भेजवाई गोइठापुरा में हेलियन गोइठा पहलवान ओडल भझ्यां दलियन हमर रैनियों लड़ाई चौषम चिटिठिया सिकन्दरा के हो राज सरबजित मैनेजर चौषम चिटिठिया कार्ट्ड के सिकन्दरा के हो भेजाय जिलवा के नाम सिकन्दरा सरबन्ति मैनेनर एगो चिट्ठिया काटी के देलियन बल्लामपुर भेजाई र्गीव के नाम बल्लमपुर पड़े बंदीदास मनेजर एगो चिट्ठिया काटी के देलियन समस्तीपुर भेजाय जिलवा के नामे समस्तीपुर बौद्ध पडे पहलवान दैलऊ बौद्ध जलीपवा तोरा इलकवा के भार एगो चिटिठेवा काटी के देले डगरा गाँव भेजाव एगो गांव का नाम डगरा रामप्रसार मैनेजर एगो चिटठिया भेजी दे इडी गांवा के नाम इडी है बुद्दन पहलवान गोहरा बांध के लाखोचक में सभवा देवो लगाय एगो चिटठिया काटी देलियन दिखन के भेलाय दिखन के भाई दूबर-पातर यही है चानन दास दिष्टन के भाई दबर-पातर वही है लाखोचक गाँव एगो चिट्ठिया काट के देलियन चानन राज भेजाय चानन के भइवा ज़र्ड़ के अइहै लाखोचक गांव ने हो न भइया अइह आरे नो लालोक्क गांव उही है भइवन के दिया हो किरिया हो तलाक चारो बगल चिटठिया लिख के देलियन भेजाई चारो बगल लिखके चिटठियां दास त्रिलोकी देलियन भेजाई एगो चिटठिया अगन राजा के देलियन है भेजाई चारियो बगल दास त्रिलोकी देलियन चिठिया भेजाई

दिन सोमरवा हो कितलई हो
करे लगल गले हैं बात
स्न हो राजा त्रिलोकी हो मैंनेजरवा बचन हो हमार
चलल जाय मैंनेजरवा दोनों मुंगेर के रे इजलास
देवे दरससवा हम हिकमवा से प्ठवे हम सलाह
हमें करिही बाब् जितवा के सुधार
अरे बिटिया बांध के बामना भगड़वा करे लड़ाई
दो चार दर्जन करवे हम मलेटी के बहाल
दुनियां—दुनियां भड़वा अइते लाखोचक गांव
धड़लके अब मैंनेजरवा दोनों डगरवा केरे बाट
अरे मरले बुमले किउलवा के रे टिशन
चढ़इले डग पैसेंजरवा मेलियन दोनों स्थिर
अइले मैंनेजरवा दोनों गीते गलबात
कड़ले—कड़ले जुमले मुंगारिया के रे टिशन

[22]

उतरल राजा त्रिलोकी हो दास मुगेरिया के रे टिशन आहंके बाब् शितलदासा भेटे लिखन मुलाकात अरे करेला दोनों मैंनेजरवा जाके बानगी करे सलाम सुनहो बाब् शितलदासा बचन हो हमार हम आहंले दोनों मुगेर केरे इजलास दुनियां-दुनियां भहवा हमार जो न करे याह सब दिन से अहिरा हलइ बहिरा धरकै नाम बिहिरा नाम छोड़बे बाब् यादव धरे नाम लिखिके संग हिकमा के देहो जिमा देह लगाय हमे हे बाब् करहई जितया के सुधार बांटे-बांध के बामना हमारा से भगड़ा करे लड़ाई दो चार दर्जन कर दो मिलिटी के इंतजमा सुन हो राज त्रिलोकी बाब् वचन हमार बजरा में चलकै जल करई जलपान पाँचों दर्जन दे हो मलेटी हो हो

पाँच दर्जन मलेटी दास त्रिलोकी देले लगाई अरे ले लो अइले एहो बाबु किउलवा के हो टीशन अरे उतरल दास त्रिलोकी है बाब बौद्ध संगे-संग अहो ले ले मिलीटी संग में देले के लगाय देखई बाधना प्रसिद्ध अब नजर से वर्णण हाय रे दाविबा नारायण जुलुम के बीते बात गरे गोवरवा अहीरा बब से वर्णन चलड अरे राज त्रिलोकी अब डगरवा बाट मरले कटले जुमले अठघाटी के पोखर होइने देवलकी अरे भाईनी डेरवा के गिराई गिरल सब भाई आवर्ड अठघाटी के पोखर ऐही अठघाटी पोखरे जब सभा देले लगाई अरे दिनिया मंगल वितले भाईची सभा दिले लगाई देलई बाबू शीतलदासा लेचर दे लगाई सन रे भइवा वर्णन हर बचन बात हमार अरे दनिइये-दनिये देले चिटिठ्या भेजवाये दुनियां के भइवां हमर अइल सभा के इजलास अरे गोरखपुर के भइवन के हम देखिय के अरे धर्म जाने दुर्गा महर्या कर्म एकबाल अरे रिवइह मैवा दुर्गा मुंह के प्रति लाज सन रे भाइयो अंधरा बहिरा वचन रे हमार अरे नाम धलको है अहिरा-बहिरा पडको नामा अहिरा-बहिरा नाम छोड़ैबों हम 'वादव' धरबो नाम अरे सनवो भाई दुर्गा धर्म ही बात सतवग में हलई भैवा सरमा पै तैवार आज के दिन रहई हमरे पे तैयार जन-जन भैवा दुर्गा तु रहिवो तैवार कैनदो निरानवा भैया हाल के दस्तान जोने योजना मांगवे भैवा जितने जैवे बाडा सुनहे भइवा बंधु कुले बचन हमार जब-जब जनमो मैया दुर्गा ऐजमो होते मे सहाय अरे पश्चिम राज से आवे बुद्धन अंधड के समान

अरे तब हमें जानबो मैया प्रचंड हो तैयार अरे घडियो न पहरवा बितलौ उठलै हे बादर अरे बदरा उठले जैसे अंधडिया उठे लागे अरे बंद अन्त टीपलगलै मैया अधिया रोके बिना भागे लगे भइवां बंधुआ बिगवा में लुकाई बदबक चाना लेके भागले गठिया के पास अरे अंधिया में गिरल गिठवा मगनी के जड़तो जान रुपे के सब भइवा अइलो सभवा में चब बात शीतलदासा लेचर देलयी भाडा तापर दौडल भाई जतिया रे बृट्म्ब अरे लाखोचक के भइवन दौड़ल आवे सन हो अहो बौद्ध जलीपवा बाब वचन हो हमार अरे मडवा गाडी के कलियो हम तैयार अरे दस बीस मन पतलवा-चुडा कलिको इन्तजाम अरे दस बीस चउरा दलिया कलिको इंतजाम मडवा गाडिके कलियो हम तैयार जेकरा में बामना एहो बाब जोडलक है गोहारी अरे मडवा के देलको है अगिया से चराई अरे चउरा लका देलको है बोरवा में फिपाई अरे सरवा बामना दौडले मारे ले भागी जान अरे सन भाई बौद्ध ललीपवा के अब बरल है क्रध अरे रे मैया हुर्गा अब जुलुम के बिते बात अमे बनल हमर समुनवा कइलके बामना बर्वाद अरे सनहो मलेटी शिव डिप्टी कप्तान देख वे बाधना के जैसे-तैसे भगडा वेते जानी

[24]

देखलक प्रसिद्ध नरायण हो बाधना गंडवा में ढोलवा को पिटवाय अहो कालहो के दिना दास त्रिलोकी सजतऊ गोहार ओकरा से पहले हमे अपना ढलवा करब तैयार ल्टबै लाखोचक और डेरवा देबे उखाड देखबे कैसे दास त्रिलोकी सामा लेक लगाय देलकक प्रसिद्ध नरायन हो बाधना गउवां में ढ़ोल हो पिटवाय आहो – कालहो के दिन दास त्रिलोकी सन्तत्व गृहार ओकरा से पहिले हम ही अपना दलवा करवे तैयार लुटब लाखोचक और डेरवादेब उखाड़

108

देखबइ कैइसे दास त्रिलोकी सध्यवा देहैं लगाय दोलवा देलके पिटवारो प्रसिद्ध घर-घर देलकै खबर मानो रामपुर, चोर सिंगारपुर सगरो देलव जबर बिलकल बाधना तहवार होगइल, लेके हिपवार बोलहैं दोरिक फलीफवा देव हैं जवाब सनह चाचा प्रसिद्ध नरावण सम्भ बात हमार सालवा और सगुनवा बुभ सनिह हाल-गृहार आरे मांगले दिनवा सजबइ हमें निकाल लेइ गुहार बोले लगले प्रसिद्ध नरायण देवहे जवाब सन्ह बाबा गोबिंद चढड़बो फ्नजरी प्रसाद पननरी प्रसादवा धरई देले हाय सबे हियवार (तलवार) धरवे जे बाबा गोबिंद के भावना होगलों धरिके गोविंद बोले जब हनही जवाब सनहै प्रसिद्ध नरायण काहे बोलैलह स्यान कह-कह प्रसिद्ध नरावण विपतिवा केरा हाल बोले-लगने प्रसिद्ध नरायण देव हैं गोविंद के जवाब सनहो बाबा हो गोविंद वचन के हमार किरिया देलवो तलाकवा गोवरवा दोहरी धिककार एही नैनिया बाबा देहें आर्शीवाद बिल्कल गवारवा गोहरिया के मार दिए जीव-जान गुहार जीत के अइबो हमें घरिके रामपुर गांव रैनिया जीत के हम अइबो घुरके रामपुर गांव रांतल पठवा देखो हम तोहे बलिदान प्रसिद्ध के बोलिया सनके गोविंद देबहि जवाब मतिया मरली गतिया हो प्रसिद्ध हरली रे बुद्धि-ज्ञान आज़के तोर जतरवा खरमण्डल होले जाव विघ्न के जतरवा तोरे बनहू रे शुद्ध दिन

आज के जतरवा वादव के रैनिया पर धार प्रगट हो मैंया दुर्गा हव जादव पे तैयार सात किलो सिंदुरा होत बम्मिनया के रो धुलाई आजु के दिनवा अइसही बैठे दिवसवा के गवाई सुनले प्रसिद्ध नरावण बरले दिल में बड़ा क्रोध क्रोधवा वामना के मगजवा पर चढ गलें जुतवा गोडवा से प्रसिद्ध नरावण निकलले मरले गोविंद के ऐ हो

[25]

षिस्या भेडवा खोलके जंगल में दले रगेद सुनरे लुच्चा मरदे गोविंद वचन रे हमार बनलो हमार जतरवा हमार करले भंगड सुन ले बेटा दोरिक तिन बचन रे हमार चहुई मुक्ती हिपया बेटा होबहे तैयार रेतना बतिया सुनकी दोरिक धनुष के भेल तैयार नार हाय के लगका देलके हिपया में सुद्ध के बांध आगे मुक्ती हिपया चलल जाई गोहारी जहमे और संयवा चले गरदा कड़ले गोहारी और गोहिरिया जुटले लाखोचक गांवा उपरा अब डागनय खपड़ा चकाचुन्द जहां लोग अदिमया आगु में पड़ी गेल अरे मारे कुल गोहिरिया देह घोलटाई मरले कुटले जुटले ने गोहरवा

[26]

चलल दास पलट मैंनेजर भरीलेले डगर चलत जाह पलट दासा अठघाटी के पोखर मरले कृटले जुटले अठघटिया के हो नजदीक पडगइले पलट दास के अठघटिया पर नजर बोलहई पलट दास हैंहन दे जवाब सुनह दास जिलोकी हो मैंनेजर बचन हो हमार किही हो बैठलइ महफिल तु हो लगाय

बाधन प्रसिद्ध नरावण कर देलको लाखोचक चढाई घरवा हमार देलको हे कबार सौचरने गांव लाखोचक में छपरा देलो चुर जितना चूरवा दहिया होले कुल देलको चनराय दिलया चउरवा सब कर देलको बर्वाद बुट के कटवा देलको हिषया में हिलाई कइलो धेइलो सब चोज कलकै बर्वाद ख़इलके पिलके बुटवा कलके बर्वाद सन हो दास त्रिलोकी बौद्ध के कोने गेले सरमान चढलई दललैं चननवा बोद्ध के भीड़से डरे काल **छडवे लागल बौद्ध खलीपवा जैसे भैंस विरहवान** धर्म जान मैंवा दुर्गा के कर्म हमें एकबाल फिर न दास त्रिलोकी लेबे मरदा में जन्म चलह दास त्रिलोकी सान दल गोहार सेनिया राही लगलई जल्दी धरले डगर देख सब गोहरिया कल नजर से वर्णन बाधना के गोहरिया देखे नजर से वर्णन भलवा देखे तलवरिया चमके विजुली के समान भलवा-तलवरिया देखिके चढ अइहे क्रोध वे हो मैवा हुर्गा हो परचन ले तैवार बाधना के गोहरिया देख धलवा के तैयार हमरा गोहरवा लिठ्या पैनवा के तैयार देखिकी कुल यादवा रे भाई जी केंपे है

[27]

अहो साजी देले दास त्रिलोकी हो बाब् दलवा अपने गोहार देबे लागल दास त्रिलोकी मैया दुर्गा के दुहाई धर्म जाने मैया दुर्गा के कर्म जाने एकबाल स्नै-स्नै कुल गोहरिया भाईजी बचन के हमार भलवा देख तलवरिया भिज्जवा गेलो डेराई जइसने सूर्यनरायण ओकरे हाय हो तैयार जइखने बदरिया घेरके ऑखन हवे आई जइखने सूर्यनरायण बदरिया में छुपले रहई सूरज लिठ्या जइबने पटकनवा भाय बजरिया रे धमसाय भलवा और तलवरियां उडले बिन्द् के रे समान स्निके कुल लेचरवा गोहरिया धरे डगर मरले और जे पडले निदया किउलिया के ऊपर देले जाहे कुल गोहरिया दुर्गा के दुहाई मरले कुटले जोमिगेले मिट ठेकहिया चिडगेले

[28]

मोहिनिंग बौद्ध खलीफा हो भाई नी मांग है नवाब बौले लागल बौद्ध खलीफवा दे हैं मनैन्यता के नवाब स्नो हो दास त्रिलोकी बाब् बचन हो हमार मड़वा हमार कबारिक केई बामना कर देलो वर्बाद ल्टिया मोहर ठेकहीया आगिया दिवे लगाय बोलहायिन राजा त्रिलोकी बाब् हान है जवाब स्न हे बौद्ध खलीफवा वचन हो हमार नै हम काम करेउली लाखोचक गाँव धर्म जाने माइया दुर्गा के कर्म जाने एकबाल कलके हैं सरवा बामना धर्म के मन्याय चलल सब गोहरिया लाखोचक गाँव चलले हैं कुलके गोहरिया नैसे बौद्ध के शरण (कैसेट नम्बर – 2)

आए हैं मह्या दुर्गा धर्म केरा मने बात
हम अब करेहें आहे मह्या जितवा के सुधार
बलजोरी के बामना हमरा से जोड़त हे गुहार
चलल हे शिव डिप्टी और मलेटी संगे—संगे
केंविनो वगल जाके देखहे बामना के गुहार
देखिह बौद्ध खलीफवा देहि मह्या दुर्गा के दुहाई
सुनरे महवा कुले हमार वचन बात हमार
फेन् हम नांहि मह्या कोखिया लेब हे अवतार
मरदा बनके अरे लेहाई मरतौं राज भुवान
देखलक कुल गोहरिया बामना नजर से वर्णन
तापर जुटले वहीं रामकन्दरपुर के गोहार
तापर जुटले वहीं रामफुर बड़िह्या के गोहार

सुन रे बाधना हमरा जितवा के बचन है हो हमार गोवरवा के हमें गोहरिया देखिके जरन जाय बेदार घूरिके जब गोवरवा के जितवा सरवा मरते जै हो हो

[29]

बदको देवही जवाब सुन रे भइया जतिया हमारा वचन हमार तीनवों दल बाधे तीनवों बगल हमार देखबो गोवरवा के तन बल के हो बलवान तीनयों दल बंधलक भड़या बलबे गोहारि बोलिह त्रिलोकी बाब हुन (दबही) हे जवाब सनहो मिलिट्री शिव डिप्टी हो कप्तान देखह हम करहि जतिया के सधार बल से बरिवार बाधना जोडलके हो गोहार बोलहे मलेट्री बाबु देहि जवाब सुनहो त्रिलोकी बाबु वचन हो हमार निहयो हमें आह किह्यो दस्तान काह जानके भगड़ा करे जहियों क्ह सनके बाधना के देखि सम्प्राय अमे-अमे मिलड़ी होवे तैवार पाछुन से वर्लीफवा दस गो होहू है तैवार एक गो खलीपता छांटल हाई जवान पाठ से मलेटी के चील जाय नुमले भइवा मलेटी नाके बामना के रे पास बोले हैं मलेटी नाके हन हे नवाब सन हो नगींदरवा भाईनी वचन के हमार जनके भगडा नाहि कर रे तकरार जल्दी कल होई हे शैतान केरा काम सुनले बाधना दोवारगो हनु हई जवाब अरे गोवरवा गुस्सा खलके हैं गवारवे संग होगेल नाहिरे बुभबो तोरा हाल के दस्तान चलवे लगले बाधना रे सरणा के रोडवा ने पवला

बलीपना हो भाइनी दरम दरोगना के धमसाई तावे बमना मलेटी के धमसाय टोपिया देखेलेक गिरल बौद्ध नजर से वर्णण पड़गले बौद्ध खलीफवा के द्वारिका पर नजर बदलैहले चरणवा भीडलै बौह के मुलाकात **घडपल बौह** खलीपवा जइसे देलके ललकार साय त्रिलोकी बौद्ध लठिया हाय लेलके सरिहार एके सठिया जाके मार देहि लेके दुर्गा जी के नाम बारह हाय के लागहि गिरगेले चकाचुर बारह हाय के लगले हियवा के सिर फुटगेले बर्वाद दोसर लठिया मारे द्वारिका के रे ले धमसाय गिरले द्वारिका बाधना धरती में अरराष्ट राम राम करके द्वारिका तेजले हो पाण देखलक प्रसिद्ध नरायण नजर से वर्णन भगलंड प्रसिद्ध नरायण लेके भलवा तलवार देखलक दरोगवा नजर से वर्णन देलके सब मलेटी के हो हक्तम मारे अब जमींदरवा के गोलीया करे निशान चलवे गोलीया के निशान ऐक गोलिया निशानवा मारे दस-बीस गोट लटाई जितना हैले गोहरिया बाधनस के होगेले बर्बाद लाषोचक में चले लगले ख़न के धार कुले कप्तानवा घुरे गले आगे ने कुछ बचे गोहरिया मीरवा गेदले ने हो

[31]

रगेद के गोहरिया के लइनिया के हो पार पुरले शिवदयाल भाइजी आविह गोहार देखले भइवा हमार अब नजर से वर्णन सुनहो मीलिट्री बाब् वाभना घुरे गेले इतना जे बरियार बाभना दौडल आवे आगे कह्लके भाई मलेट्री भाईजी गोलिया के तैयार लगले गोलिया भाइजी जाइके गिरले धरती में हो

[32]

हाय रे मह्या दुर्गा अब जुल्म के बितले बात बामना के रोदें गइले लड़नइया केरा पार बामना के करदेलेक ओहि पार घुरेल शिवदयाल अब अपना घर के रे मकान यादव सब देखले से ऑस से वर्णन सिनुरा के टोकवाहेले माईजी घोखवा में परिगेले बामना जानिके दीलके माईजी गालिया चलाई मरीके हले बामना माई जी गोवरवा मरिगेले मरी गेल शिवदयाल घरती में घोलटाय ओ हो

[33]

नतिया सातिर तेनलक प्राण हायगे भइवा दुर्गा अब जुलूम के बितल बात मंगनी के मझ्या ओकर घर में कानो होगइस बिलाय बार-बार हम बरजलै मगर ना मनलक बात गरले हे शिवदवाल ना भाईंची आपन हकलक हे प्राण जित्या केरे खातिर देलके रे पाण जतिया खातिर शिवदयाल तेजलक रे प्राण बोलिक्न दास त्रिलोकी गलकेरा दस्तान हाइंगे मह्यां दुर्गा जुलुम के वितलो बात शिक्दवाल खलीपवा के तु चुनिके बलिदान रिनह मैया दुर्गा रैनिया केरा साल पांच दिनवा कइले भले तलाक पांच दिने भइले शिवदवाल के गवना रोसगदी रिषिद्धे मैया मोह केरे लाज रहले शिवदयाल के धरती पर नाम-निशान ओजिर और खलीपवा लेलियन धरती में जन्म यादव के कुल गोहरिया बैठइले बगीचवा के लगाय

वादव के कुल बाल गोहरिवा बैठइले मिटीगिया के लगाय अरे करे लागल दास त्रिलोकी मैंनेजर बात के बयान (लेक्चर) ओजिंगन देलिक्न दास त्रिलोकी जनेउआ बंटवाइ (पहनवाई) गवारवा के सिंगरवा मिरजा ओजगा से उपदले ऐ हो

APPENDIX - B

THE TRANSCRIBED VERSION OF THE PERSONAL INTERVIEW RECORDED IN MARCH 1994 FROM LAKHO CHAK

प्रश्न - आपका क्या नाम है ?

उत्तर - बंदी प्रसाद वादव ।

प्रश्न - आपकी उम्र ?

उत्तर - 77 साल का हैं । उस समय मैं लगभग 6 साल का वा ।

प्रश्न - आप किस गाँव के रहने वाले हैं ?

उत्तर - सिंहचक गाँव का ।

प्रश्न - आपकी शिक्षा ? फिल्हाल क्या कर रहे हैं ?

उत्तर - मैं मैदिक पास हैं और अध्यापक हैं ।

प्रश्न - आप उन दिनों 6 वर्ष के ये । आप कह रहे हैं कि आपके बाबा और पिता इस घटना से सीधे जुड़े ये । आपको उन लोगों के साथ रहने का और कितना गौका मिला ?

उत्तर – बाबा के साथ मैं बीस वर्षों तक रहा और पिता के साथ तीस वर्षों तक । बाबा की मृत्यु 1938 के आस-पास हुई और मेरे पिता की मृत्यु 1967 के आस-पास ।

प्रश्न - आप 1925 के लाखोचक रायट से किस तरह चुड़े हैं ?

उत्तर - चानन की धरती पर बामनों और यादवों के बीच जो लड़ाई हुई यी, अभी मैंने बताया कि उस वक्त मैं 6 साल का या । तो मैंने उसे देखा भी या और बहुत कुछ अपने पिता भमन महतो और बाबा महादेव महतो से सुना भी या । इन लोगों ने अलग-अलग जगह से यादवों को बुलाया या । चूंकि यह लड़ाई बौद्धिक स्तर पर मेरे बाबा द्वारा ही संचालित यी इसलिए इसके बारे में मेरी जानकारी स्वाभाविक है ।

प्रश्न - जरा विस्तार से इस घटना के बारे में बताइये ।

उतार - 18 गाँव की चानन भूमि, उन्हीं गाँवों में से लाखोचक भी एक है जो प्रसिद्ध नारायण सिंह की जमींदारी से पड़ता या । लाखोचक में प्रसिद्ध नारायण सिंह का खूब अत्याचार चलता या। याववों के बीच जब जागरण की शुरुआत हुई तो भूमिहारों ने उसे आड़े हायों लिया । सुधार के दरम्यान हम लोग मानते ये कि जनेऊ पहनना याववों का भी जन्म सिद्ध अधिकार है । लाखोचक के याववों से भूमिहारों का कहना या कि कहीं और ऐसा होता हो तो हो लेकिन वहाँ के याववों को यह छूट नहीं दी जायेगी । वहाँ के याववों में प्रमुख पलटदास ये । जाति के सम्भापति गनौरी गाँव के जिलोकी दास खबर पाकर लाखोचक आये वहाँ सम्भा की । उन्होंने एलान किया कि अगर प्रसिद्ध नारायण सिंह याववों को जनऊ पहनने से

रोकता है तो हम वही जनेऊ पहनेंगे और हमारी लडाई की शरुआत वहीं से होगी । सभी लोगों ने कष्ण की जब ध्वनि की और कहा कि अंतिम लडाई भी वही होगी । बाहर से जो यादव बलाये गये उन सबको कहा गया कि हर परिवार से एक लाठी, एक ऑटी, एक स्परा और एक किलो चावल अपने साथ जरूर लायें । भगिहारों ने सोचा कि अगर यादव जनेऊ पहनने पर आमादा हो नावेंगे तो अंत में गाँव की उनाड दिया नावेगा । अलग-अलग गाँवों के यादवों ने उस बात की क्नौती की तरह लिया और ठान लिया कि लाखोक गाँव का बाल भी बांका न होने देंगे । इस तरह जनेफ़ पहनने का वज्ञ शरू हुआ । परोहित बने लाखोचक गाँव के यादव, अक्कल दास । जाहिर है, भूमिहारों ने ब्राहमणों को भी मना कर दिया कि कोई भी जनेफ़ यादवों को देने न जाएं । कोई ब्राह्मण नहीं आया । सिंहचक गाँव गिद्धौर महाराचा के अधीन था. वहाँ के ब्राह्मणों को भी मनाकर दिया गया । वज्ञ का दिन 27 मई 1925 (वज्ञ का निश्चित दिन उन्हें वाद नहीं या इसे मैंने फाइल नं. 7/1926, पौलिटिकल स्पेशल, गवनीमेन्ट ऑफ बिहार एण्ड उडीसा के आधार पर उनको बतलाया) तय किया गया । उस दिन कृष्ण भगवान की मुर्ति लाखोचक बगीचा में स्यापित किया गया । यादवों को अंदेशा या कि भूमिहार जरूर कुछ न कुछ करेंगे, इसलिए ब्रिटिश सरकार को पहले ही खबर दे दी गई थी । खबर के मुताबिक ब्रिटिश मिलिटी क्ल की रक्षा करने आ गई । उन्होंने आश्वासन दिया कि आप लोग शांतिपूर्वक वज्ञ करें, अगर गुहार आये तो हम उसे समभा कर लौटा देंगे । यज्ञ की जब शुरुआत हुई तो सचमुच ही रामपुर, मानो, रामचन्द्रपुर, बडिह्या, ख़टहाँ आदि गाँवों के भूमिहार हायी घोडे पर सवार होकर प्रसिद्ध नारायण सिंह के नेतृत्व में गृहार बांध कर आये और यज्ञ को नेस्तुनाबद करना चाहा । एक बार ब्रिटिश फीज ने उन्हें सप्रभा कर भेज दिवा । लेकिन दबारा फिर वे लोग आ धमके । अंग्रेज कप्तान ने उन्हें रोकने की कोशिश की तो उन्हें बरी तरह मार मिली । बदले में फैंन ने भी उन लोगों पर गोली चलाई और यहवों से पीछे-पीछे आने को कहा । सैकड़ों भूमिहार इस लड़ाई में और कुछ वादव मारे गये । मुफे आन भी अपने गाँव के कंत वादव की वह तस्वीर वाद आती है जब उन्हें गले में बर्जी लगी थी । उन्हें जब भी हुध पिलावा जाता या. कण्ठ से होकर रिसने लगता या । उस लड़ाई के और्जीरमल, गोईठा खलीपा, बौद्ध खलीपा, बुद्धन पहलवान खास वादब पहलवान ये । इसके अलावे त्रिलोकी दास, अगन खलीफा, बंदी दास, रामदवाल खिरहरी, भगन महतो, महादेव महतो, शुकर दास, शीतल दास जैसे लोगों का नाम गौरव से लिया जायेगा । शौली महतो, भप्सत भगत और फकीरा दास जैसे गैर-वादबों ने भी इस लड़ाई में दिल खोलकर साय दिया।

प्रश्न - आज जबकि इस घटना को गुजरे **70** साल हो चुके हैं, इन लड़ाकुओ के परिवार की क्या

उत्तर - कुछ की स्थिति तो बहुत अच्छी है और कुछ लोग साधारण तरह से गुजर-बसर कर रहे हैं । बुद्धन पहलवान का परिवार शैक्षणिक दृष्टि से सबसे आगे है । बौद्ध पहलवान भी ठीक ही हैं । त्रिलोकी दास की स्थिति साधारण हैं । बुंदी दास का परिवार ठीक-ठाक हैं । उनका पोता अभी पंचायत का मुखिया है । महदेव महतो का परिवार शिक्षा की दृष्टि से चानन में अभी सबसे आगे हैं । उनका परपौत्र अभी बिहार सरकार के राज्य सिंचाई मंत्रीहैं । भगन महतो का पोता सुरेश प्रसाद यादव डी. लिट. का छात्र है । परिवार की लडिक्यों भी पढ़ी-लिखी हैं ।

- प्रश्न ग्वाला सभा के मुद्दे क्या ये ?
- उत्तर ग्वाला सामा जासकर वनेक्र, बैठ बेगारी और वर्मीदारों के किस्म-किस्म के शोषण के जिलाफ बुलाई गयी थी ।
- प्ररन आल विरोधियों के परिवार की क्या स्थित है तया उन लोगों का यादबों के प्रति क्या रूप है ?
- उत्तर प्रसिद्ध नारायण सिंह के परिवार की स्थिति पहले जैसी नहीं हैं । अब वे यादवों के साथ अत्याचार नहीं करते । लाखोचक के नजदीक के जमीन को बटाई पर दे देते हैं ।
- प्रश्न क्या इस घटना से संवंधितकोर्ट के निर्णयकी जानकारी आपको है ?
- उत्तर इस घटना से संबंधित कोर्ट के निर्णयकी जानकारी मुफ्ते नहीं है । इसकी जानकारी आपही के द्वारा लाये फर्स्ट क्लास मजिस्ट्रेट के निर्णय की कार्पी से मिली ।
- प्रश्न फर्स्ट-बलास मिनिस्ट्रेट के निर्णय के अनुसार भूमिहारों को नुर्माना किया गया । क्या आप बतला सकते हैं कि यह नुर्माना ग्वाला सभा के उद्देश्यों की पूर्ति के लिए या या भूमिहारों के हिंसात्मक प्रवृति के कारण ?
- उत्तर चूँकि रामपुर (भूमिहार बहुल) और लालोक्क (यादव बहुल) ब्रिटिश सरकार के ही अभीन वे । ब्रिटिश सरकार दोनों को बराबर और अपनी प्रचा मनती वी । अतः एक द्वारा दूसरे पर अत्याचार उसे ठीक नहीं लगा । ब्रिटिश सरकार का मानना वा कि दोनों को जनेऊ पहनने का अभिकार है । परन्तु भूमिहार को यह लगता वा कि यादव उसके अभीन है, तथा उनका जनेऊ पहनना शास्त्रसम्मत नहीं है । यादवों ने ब्रिटिश सरकार को बताया कि उनका जनेऊ पहनना बिल्कुल शास्त्रसम्मत है, ब्रिल्क भूमिहार ही वर्ण-शंकर हैं । इसी आधार पर ब्रिटिश सरकार ने यादवों का साथ दिया ।
- प्ररन आपको ऐसा कोई संदर्भ वाद हैं (जिसे वादव ब्रिटिश सरकार को पेश किये वे) जिससे वह साबित होता हो कि यादव कहीं भृमिहार से उच्च कोटि के हैं ?
- उत्तर वादवों ने बतावा कि हम क्षत्रिय हैं । हमारे प्रयम पुरुष राज वह हैं जो सबसे पहले चक्रवर्ती राजा मर्यादित के पुत्र हैं । इसी वंश में सहस्त्रार्जुन का जन्म हुआ जिन्होंने परशुराम के साय कई बार वृद्ध किया । परशुराम ने जो क्षत्रियों को नष्ट करने का प्रण किया या वे क्षत्रिय कोई और नहीं वादव ही वे । उनकी लड़ाई सूर्यवंशी से नहीं बल्कि चंद्रवंशी क्षत्रियों से यी । सूर्यवंशी क्षत्रिय उनके साथ ही वे ।

अनेक देवों और देवियों का जन्म यद्वंश में हुआ । एक श्लोक के अनुसार दुर्गा का जन्म

वर्वरा में बताया गया है - "नंदगोपा गृहे जाता वशोदा गर्भ सम्भवः" । अतः भागवत पुराण के अनुसार दुर्गा का जन्म नन्द की बेटी वशोदा के गर्भ से हुआ या । इसी प्रकार गावत्री का भी जन्म गोकूल के गोपगृह में हुआ या । कृष्ण तो साक्षात परब्रह्म विष्णु अवतार ही माने जाते थे ।

साय ही साय वादवों ने कहा कि भ्रिष्टार चकवार हैं जो कुम्हार से पैदा लिये हैं । उनके अंदर बढ़ई, नाई, ब्राह्मण आदि सभी हैं ।

प्ररन - 1925 के बाद इस तरह के आन्दोलन क्यों समाप्त हो गए ?

उत्तर - वह क्षेत्र किसान आन्दोन का भी केन्द्र या । कार्यानन्द शर्मा और राहुल सांकृत्वायन लैसे किसान नेता कभी सिक्रिय थे । उन लोगों ने चानन में काफी साभाएं की और भूमिहारों को ही गलत बतलाया । एक प्रकार से साम्भौता कराने में इन्हीं लोगों की भूमिका यी । ये लोग भी यादवों के ही पक्ष में थे ।

प्रश्न - सामाजिक न्याय की दिशा में इस घटना की क्या भूमिका है ?

उत्तर - वह एक क्रांतिकारी पहल यी जिसके बाद जमींदारों के तमाम अत्वाचार बंद हो गये । आज जो सामाजिक न्याय की लड़ाई में सक्रिय हैं उनको इससे सबक लेली चाहिए ।

[Mr. Bundi Prasad Yadav is 77 years old. He lives in the village of Singh Chak. I recorded my interview with him as transcribed above in March, 1994.]

TH-5051

BIBLIOGRAPHY

PRIMARY SOURCES

(A) UNPUBLISHED RECORDS

The proceedings of the Government of Bihar & Orissa, Political Department for 1926, Special Section, File No. 7, Bihar State Archives, Patna.

The transcribed version of the Lorik (folk-song), recorded in March 1994 from Lakho Chak in the district of Monghyr, Bihar.

Personal interviews, recorded in March 1994 from Lakho Chak in the district of Monghyr, Bihar.

The Searchlight, Patna, Friday, June 5, 1925.

The Statesman, Calcutta, Friday, June 5, 1925.

The Leader, Allahabad, Saturday, June 6, 1925.

The Pioneer, Allahabad, Saturday, June 6, 1925.

Forward, Calcutta, Friday, June 5, 1925.

(B) PUBLISHED RECORDS

- Sanjiva, T.V., P. Ramanath Iyer and P. Hari Rao, All <u>India Digest</u> 1836-1910, Section I - Criminal (2 Vols.), The Law Printing House, 1910.
- Chitaley, D.V. and N. Ramaratnam, The Fifty Year's Digest 1901-1950, Civil, Criminal & Revenue (14 Vols.), Madras-Nagpur, M.L.J. Press Private Ltd.
- Ali Bakhsh, S.H., The Fifteen Year's Digest 1916-1931, Civil, Criminal & Revenue (Vol.I), Lahore, The Indian cases office, 1931.

- Desai, B.R., All India Comparative Tables 1809-1936, Baroda.
- All India Reporter, Bombay Section, Nagpur, 1926(69); 1932(122); 1934(431); 1935(268); 1937(169).
- <u>All India Reporter</u>, Patna Section, Nagpur, 1924(420); 1930(564); 1949(184).
- <u>All India Reporter</u>, Madras Section, Nagpur, 1921(224); 1937(591); 1949(141).
- All India Reporter, Calcutta Section, Nagpur, 1931(741); 1939(417).
- All India Reporter, Nagpur Section, Nagpur, 1924(157).
- All India Reporter, Lahore Section, Nagpur, 1940(475).
- All India Reporter, Oudh Section, Nagpur, 1928(138).
- All India Reporter, Sind Section, Nagpur, 1929(1).
- O' Malley, L.S.S., <u>Bengal District Gazetteer Monghyr</u>, Calcutta, 1909.
- Chaudhury, Ray, <u>Bihar District Gazetteer Monghyr</u>, Patna, 1960.
- Prasad, S.D., <u>District Census Handbook</u> (8) <u>-Monghyr</u>, Patna, 1961.
- East India (Census) General Report of Census of India, 1911.
- Marten, J.T., Census of India, 1921, Vol.I, Part I Report, Calcutta, 1924.
- Hutton, J.H., Census of India, 1931, Vol.I, Part I Report, Delhi, 1933.
- O' Malley, L.S.S., <u>Census of India</u>, <u>1911 Bengal</u>, <u>Bihar and Orissa and Sikkim</u>, Vol. V, Part I Report, Calcutta, 1913.
- Tallents, P.C., <u>Census of India, 1921 Bihar and Orissa</u>, Vol. II, Part I Report, Patna, 1923.
- Lacey, W.G., Census of India, 1931, Bihar and Orissa Vol.
 VII, Part I Report, 1933.
- Encyclopaedia of Social Sciences, (Vol. 11-12).

SECONDARY SOURCES

(BOOKS & ARTICLES)

- Agarwala, B.R., Our Judiciary, Delhi, 1993.
- Anderson, J.N.D. (ed.), <u>Changing Law in Developing</u>

 <u>Countries</u>, London, 1963.
- Baxi, Upendra, The Crisis of the Indian Legal System, New Delhi, 1982.
- Baxi Upendra, Towards a Sociology of Indian Law, Delhi, 1986.
- Baxi Upendra, "The Conflicting Conceptions of Legal Cultures & the Conflicts of Legal Cultures', 33, Journal of Indian Law Institute, Vol. 33, New Delhi, 1991.
- Chaturvedi, R.G., Natural & Social Justice, Allahabad, 1970.
- Cohn, B.S., An Anthropologist Among the Historians and Other Essays, Oxford, 1987.
- Das, Arvind N. and V. Nilakant (ed.), <u>Agrarian Relations in India</u>, Delhi, 1979.
- Das, Arvind N.(ed.), <u>Argrarian Movements in India</u>: <u>Studies</u>
 on <u>20th Century Bihar</u>, London, 1982.
- Das, Arvind N., Agrarian Unrest and Socio-economic Change in Bihar, 1900-1980, New Delhi, 1983.
- Derrett, J.D.M., Law and the State in India, London, 1968.
- Dhagamwar, Vasudha, <u>Law, Power and Justice: The Protection of Personal Rights in the Indian Panal Code</u>, New Delhi, 1992.
- Elias, T. Olawale, <u>British Colonial Law: A Comparative Study</u>
 of the <u>Interaction between English and Local</u>
 Laws in British Dependencies, London, 1962.
- Galanter, Marc., Competing Equalities: Law and Backward Classes in India, Berkeley, 1984.
- Galanter, Marc, <u>Law and Society in Modern India</u>, Oxford, 1989.

- Guha, Ranajit, <u>Elementary Aspects of Peasant Insurgency in</u> Colonial India, Delhi, 1983.
- Guha, Ranajit (ed.), Subaltern Studies (Vol.IV), Delhi, 1985.
- Guha, Ranajit (ed.), Subaltern Studies (Vol.V), Delhi, 1987.
- Guha, Ranajit (ed.), Subaltern Studies (Vol.VI), Delhi, 1989.
- Guha, Ranajit (ed.), <u>Subaltern</u> <u>Studies</u> (Vol.VII), Delhi, 1993.
- Gupta, Dipankar, Social Stratification, Delhi, 1991.
- Hutchins, F.G., The <u>Illusion of Permanence</u>: <u>British</u>
 <u>Imperialism in India</u>, Princeton, 1967.
- Jha, Hetukar, "The Lower Caste Peasant and Upper Caste Zamindars in Bihar, 1921-25", Indian Economic and Social History Review, 1977.
- Kikani, L.T., Caste in Courts or Rights and Powers of Caste in Social and Religious Matters as Recognised by Indian Courts, Rajkot, 1912.
- Morley, William H., The Administration of Justice in British India etc. New Delhi, 1976.
- Motiwal, O.P., Changing Aspects of Law and Justice in India, Allahabad, 1979.
- Perrot, Michelle (ed.) and Felicia Pheasant (tranld.),
 Writing Woman's History, Oxford, 1992.
- Roy, Sripati, <u>Customs and Customary Law in British India</u>, Calcutta, 1911.
- Rudolph, L.I. and S.H. Rudolph, <u>The Modernity of Tradition</u>:

 <u>Political Development in India</u>, Chicago,
 1967.
- Samuel, Raphael and Paul Thomson, The Myths We Live By, London and New York, 1990.
- Sarkar, U.C., Epochs in Hindu Legal History, Hoshiarpur, 1958.
- Siddiqi, M.H., "History and society in a popular rebellion: Mewat, 1920-1933", Comparative Study of Society and History, 1986.
- Singer, Milton and B.S. Cohn, <u>Structure and Change in Indian</u>
 <u>Society</u>, Chicago, 1968.

- Singh, Nagendra, The Theory of Force and Organisation of Defence in Indian Constitutional History From earliest times to 1947, Bombay, 1969.
- Smith, Richard Saumarez, "Rule-by-records and rule-by-reports: Complementary aspects of the British Imperial rule of law", Contribution to Indian Sociology, Vol. 19, No.1, January-June 1985.
- Steele, Arthur, The Hindu Castes their Law, Religion and Customs, Delhi, 1986.
- Sternbach, L., <u>Judicial Studies in Ancient Indian Law</u>, (Part-I), Delhi, 1965.
- Stokes, E.R., The English Utilitarians and India, Oxford, 1959.
- Stone, J., Social Dimensions of Law and Justice, Bombay, 1966.
- Tagore Law Lectures, 1883, "Outlines of an History of the Hindu Law of Partition, Inheritance and Adoption", Calcutta, 1985.
- Thompson, E.P., "Folklore, anthropology and social history",

 <u>Indian Historical Review</u>, Vol.3, No.2,

 January, 1977.
- Vansina, Jan, Oral Tradition as History, London, 1985.
- Wilson, H.H., A Glossary and Revenue Terms etc. (2nd ed.), Delhi, 1968.